

INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR STKIP PGRI TULUNGAGUNG

PROCEEDING OF INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR EDUCATION FOR NATION CHARACTER BUILDING at STKIP PGRI Tulungagung January 2015

Editors: Supriadi Yepi Sedya Purwananti Ajar Dirgantoro

INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR STKIP PGRI TULUNGAGUNG Proceeding of International Seminar Education for Nation Character Building @ STKIP PGRI Tulungagung 2015

These proceeding contain the full text of paper and talks presented in the International Seminar "Education for Nation Character Building" at STKIP PGRI Tulungagung on January 24th, 2015

Reviewer:

I Nyoman Sudana Degeng (UM, Indonesia)
Muhammad Faizal Bin A. Ghani (University of Malaya, Malaysia)
Akhsanul In'am (UMM, Indonesia)
Zulkifley Muhammed (UPSI, Malaysia)
Moh. Zain Musa (Asian Foundation, Cambodia)
Charlotte A. Blackburn (Presbyterian Church, USA)

Editors:

Supriadi Yepi Sedya Purwananti Ajar Dirgantoro

Layouter:

Budi Hermawan

First Published, 2015 ISBN 978-602-72660-0-1

Published by



STKIP PGRI Tulungagung

Tulungagung, East Java, Indonesia Phone +62355-321426 Fax +62355-321426 http://www.stkippgritulungagung.ac.id

TABLE OF CONTAINS

PR	EFACEBLE OF CONTAINS
1.	The Optimalization of Students' Character Building of Through The Implementation of Quantum Teaching Abd. Ghofur
2.	Thalia Education Curriculum Management Abdul Manab
3.	The Role of SPS (School-Parent-Society) Toward Students' Character Education Quality **Agus Muharyanto** **Index of SPS (School-Parent-Society) Toward Students' Character Education Quality **Agus Muharyanto** **Index of SPS (School-Parent-Society) Toward Students' Character Education Quality
4.	Group Investigation Model in Learning Writing: an Effort to Develop Student's Character Andri Pitoyo
5.	Improving Students' Speaking and Character Education using Storytelling Anik Indramawan, Muhammad Ali Anwar, & Mastur
6.	Character Education Development Efforts to Establish Identity as a Nation Diverse Culture (Multicultural) Bambang Supriyatno
7.	The Implementation of Character Building Through MIN Dhulumât Ila An-Nûr Concept in The Learning Process Chusnul Chotimah
8.	The Role of Guidance and Counseling on Developing Students' Character in 2013 Curriculum at Vocational High School **Djoko Edi Yuwono**
9.	The Character Revitalization of Cognitive Abilities and The Character of Students Analytical Thinking Skills Through Problem Solving in Preparing Students in Applying Teaching Learning Practice Dwi Koranto
10.	Building Students' Positive Character Through Holistic Education Eni Setyowati
11.	
12.	Role in Shaping Character Education Students Preparing for Vocational Facing Future of Globalization Hadi Suryanto
13.	Student Thinking Process in Solving Problem of Integer and Scaffolding *Hairus Saleh**

14.	Students' Character Building Using Lesson Study in The Course of The Analysis of Pupils' Development at PG-PAUD Department of Nusantara PGRI University Kediri Hanggara Budi Utomo & Anik Lestariningrum	99
15.	Local Wisdom As People Character Education Hari Subiyantoro	106
16.	Profile Students of Problems Solving in A Fraction of Matter In Terms of Cognitive Styles and Gender in Primary School Muhammad Ilman Nafi'an & Dian Septi Nur Afifah	114
17.	Learning Values Through Fiction Dwi Ima Herminingsih	121
18.		128
19.	The Role of Higher Education in Developing Students' Personality of Academic Character Imam Sujono	134
20.	Building Students' Characters Through Learning Mathematics Indah Setyo Wardhani	140
21.		145
22.	The Role of 'Pesantren' The Islamic Boarding School in Creating Character Building in Madura Moh. Juhdi	149
23.	Building The Literature-Based Character Kasnadi & Adip Arifin	155
24.	Preventing Dehumanization and Demoralization Through "Mesem" Ala Modern Islamic Boarding School M. Abdul Roziq Asrori.	161
25.	Integrating Values Pancasila in Nation Character Education Culture and Community Based Schools Mardi Widodo	166
26.	The Effect of Utilization of Macromedia Flash and Power Point as Well as The Learning Media Motivation Against Learning Outcomes Subject ICT at SMK PGRI 1 and SMK PGRI 3 Jombang *Masruchan**	174
27.	The Implementation of LPTK Curriculum Based on The Indonesian National Qualification Framework (INQF) to Strengthen The Character Values of Prospective Educator Maylita Hasyim	182
28.		190

2	9. Effect of Motivation, Learning Method and Learning Achievement Against Environmental Accounting in Vocational High School Munawaroh	
30	O. An Effort to Build Devotion Toward Home Land Through The Improvement of Ability to Analyze Economic Problem Using Task Based Self Learning Nanik Sri Setyani	
31	1. Strategy Development Economics Education Learning Through Lesson Study Based Character in Order Implementation KKNI Nanis Hairunisya.	
32	2. The Character Building of Students Through Multicultural Education Ninies Eryadini	
33	Build The Students' Entrepreneurial Character Using Practice at Enterpreneurship Learning Method Ninik Sudarwati	
34	. Teachers, Innovative Instructional Design and a Good Character in Information Era Nurul Umamah	222
35.	The Implementation of Problem Solving Method in Lecturing and The Influences Toward The PGSD Students' Rational Thinking Ability Rahyu Setiani	231
36.	Independent Character and Reasoning Ability in Mathematics Learning by Using Problem Solving Polya Model Retno Marsitin	236
37.	Character Education in Madurese Culture Rifa Erawati	243
38.	Roles of Principals in Implementing Character Values So'im	252
39.	Mathematic Realistic Learning Islamic Nuanced for Learning Mathematics Islamic Junior High School in Pamekasan Regency Sri Indiati Hasanah	257
40.	Domestic Science As Character Education in Primary School Sri Rejeki	264
41.	Authentic Problem Through Integrative Learning to Describe Scientific Activity Student Senior High School on The Physics Topic of Linear Motion Sudi Dul Aji & Muhammad Nur Hudha	269
42.	The Implementation of Project Based Craft and Enterpreneurship Learning to Improve The Competence of Enterpreneurship and Character Values Indoctrination Towards SMK Students Sulastri Rini Rindravani	274
43.	The Development of Guided Note Taking Handout with Character Education Based in Sub Material of Animal Tissue as A Self-Learning Source At SMA/MA Sulistiyawati & Setyarini Widyastuti	280
	January assure	289

Proceeding of International Seminar on STKIP PGRI Tulungagung 2015

44.	The Effect of Reflective Model as Character Building Learning Model to Improve Students Characters in University Sumardiono	
45.	Teachers' Planning of Character Education in Social Studies Teaching in Junior High Schoo Sunaryanto	1
46.	G*Gold Approach to Character Education: A Reflective Study Supriyono	-
47.		317
48.	Cultural Revolution The Character Literature-Based Learning Sutejo & Edy Suprayitno	
49.		327
50.		339
51.		
52.		347
53.	Implementation of Values Pancasila Political Education as to Form Political Ethics and Character Nation Usep Supriatna	353
54.	Traditional Javanese Songs: Tradition, Language, Value, And The Usage in Language Learning	361 369
55.	A Framework of Internal Motivation for Character Education in Teaching and Learning Process	209
	Yatno	375
56.	Effort to Building The Character with Haya' at School Zainudin	382

GROUP INVESTIGATION MODEL IN LEARNING WRITING: AN EFFORT TO DEVELOP STUDENT'S CHARACTER

ANDRI PITOYO*)

*)Lecturer at University Of Nusantara PGRI Kediri e-mail: andri.pitoyo@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The paradigm of learning writing to emphasis on individual activities and based command. This view puts the teacher as the only source of complete learning so that learning will not be interesting and fun. Therefore, it is necessary to change the paradigm to make the learning more exciting and expected. One alternative in achieving this goal is to implement cooperative learning model group investigation. The model is able to make the students involved from the planning, writing, until the completion of writing, both in determining the topic as well as a way to learn through investigation. One thing that differentiates it from other cooperative learning model is the model has a major focus group investigation of an object or topic to write. The investigation has provided opportunities for students to argue, critical thinking, do the findings and analysis collaboratively. The use of this model will also allow students to achieve success in learning, thinking skills (thinking skills) and social skills (social skills). This learning model allows students to develop the knowledge, abilities, and skills in total in a learning environment that is open and democratic. Students are no longer as an object of learning, but can also act as tutors for their peers. The application of the model group investigation in writing class that implements the practice of speaking directly, authentic and natural is in line with efforts to improve and develop karate. Natural context in learning writing Indonesian making the learning process designed more meaningful and memorable teacher. Learning to write by using a theoretical model of group investigations involving children in planning explore and share ideas. Students are encouraged to collaborate with friends and learn the "how" of their own in accordance with the cognitive style of each. Students are empowered as the learners are able to argue, hard work, sharing, helping peers, and affection between friends.

Keywords: Learning Model, Investigation Group, Writing Skill

A. INTRODUCTION

In general, learning Indonesian directed to improve the ability of learners to communicate in Indonesian language and literature properly, both orally in writing, and foster an appreciation of the work of Indonesian human literature. This objective is in detail described in the standards of competence: (1) learners can develop their potential in accordance with the abilities, needs, and interests, and to foster an appreciation of the work of literary and intellectual outcome of the nation itself; (2) the teacher can focus on the development of competence language learners by providing a

range of activities and language learning resources; and (3) the teacher can determine the language and literature teaching materials in accordance with the conditions of the school environment and the ability of learners to independently and freely. Thus, competency standards subjects Indonesian is minimal ability qualification learners describe the acquisition of knowledge, skills, and positive attitudes towards Indonesian that can be realized through the learning objectives.

Indonesian learning objectives at primary school is to foster and develop students' ability to speak Indonesian well and properly in a variety of events both oral and written communication. The goal formulation Indonesian emphasize learning objectives in a number of competencies, including: (1) the students can communicate using Indonesian; (2) the student is able to use the Indonesian according to the situation and the purpose language; and (3) the student is able to develop reasoning and communication capabilities. If attention to these objectives, the learning writing should be meaningful, memorable, and interesting for students. In fact, learning writing seems uninteresting, boring for students. Some teachers view that learning writing is the "individual in total". This view was inspired on a number of assignments to write nuanced "individual".

Therefore, in this paper revealed one of the alternative solutions to the problem of learning writing model cooperative investigation group chosen as a solution for learning to write more interesting, exciting, fun, and memorable for the student. Based on the results of the study, the positive impact of this model applied is growing social awareness of students to respect the opinions of others, empathy, mutual help, responsibility and other positive attitude.

B. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LEARING WRITING, SOCIAL AND COGNITIVE

Writing skills competence, as one aspect of language skills plays a very important and strategic. It can be observed from every discipline requires writing activities. As stated by Cleary and Linn (1993) that writes and reads very important role in learning in any discipline academic. Writing is one of the most organized and effective way to express the idea meaningful to others. The author can express his ideas systematically, revise, and refine the results so that the information disclosed writings can be better and understood by the reader.

The ability or writing skills are also seen as complex capabilities. This is evident in the statement Raimes (1983), that in the skills of writing there are a number of components that must be faced by a writer. These components are an understanding of: (1) the purpose of writing; (2) the prospective reader; (3) the content; (4) the process of writing; (5) diction; (6) aspects of

the organization; (7) grammatical; and (8) writing techniques. These eight aspects which are the real picture of the complexity of writing skills.

Furthermore Heaton (1998) states that there are five skills necessary to prepare a good essay. The five skills in question is: (1) grammatical skills (ability to form correct sentences); (2) pouring the content; (3) Stylistics skills (ability to use words and language are effective); (4) mechanical skills (ability to use proper spelling and punctuation); and (5) decides skills (ability to write in a way that is appropriate for the purpose and special readers along with the ability to select, organize, and sort relevant information).

The development of writing skills, as well as oral language skills, requires an understanding of how to combine the components of language (example: The knowledge of vocabulary, grammar, orthography, and the structure of the kind of writing) in order to produce a text. In writing, a writer must make a proper analysis of the topics that will be written to the actual, attractive desirable and understood the reader. This statement is in line with the concept Nystrand (1982) that the writing was significantly requires authors to pay attention to some limitations that could affect the way the reader understand the meaning of the text. Furthermore, there are five restrictions stated in question: (1) the graphical limitations; (2) syntax; (3) semantic restrictions; (4) limits the texture; and (5) contextual constraints.

The Graphical limits emphasis on aspects of orthography, the clarity of handwritten or printed, punctuation, in order to use space and layout. A syntactic restriction focuses on the author's understanding of the structure of the sentence, the ambiguity in the use of a vocabulary. Semantic restrictions related to the author's understanding of the meaning, the meaning contained in the essay. Limitation of textures that use the means of cohesion that can explain and maintain continuity of meaning in the essay. Contextual limits, the factors such as formatting, text type, style, typeface and titles relevant to the essay. It can be concluded, writing skills a person's ability to express ideas,

opinions, thoughts, and feelings to others through written language.

Writing in the context of learning requires learners to apply a wide range of abilities and language skills, including knowledge of textual and knowledge about what the objectives of the communication of an essay. Writing process often begins with planning, followed by a stage of writing the initial draft, writing and revision stage.

Learning writing in accordance with the modern approach is learning not only emphasize on the product, but also the process (Nunan, 1991 and Tompkins, 2012). In the activity, the students can feel direct experience in writing activities. Thus, students and teachers should realize that writing was a gradual process. Therefore, in the learning writing, teachers should prepare students to understand the condition of learning how to write and not just learning to write. The basic concept of this approach gives an opportunity to the students to not rely entirely on the teacher, but more than that the student should also be responsible for writing and able to collaborate with other students. Thus the teacher acts as a facilitator, motivator, and organizers in creating an atmosphere that is conducive to learning to write.

Another modern paradigm of learning writing state that the writing is a social activity (Nunan, 1991). This concept illustrates that in writing; the students can work together and collaborate with others so that the act of writing seems more dynamic and meaningful. Cooperation that can be done, among others, in the form, the investigation group (group-investigation). Implementation of this cooperation is directly formed a variety of skills in students, including skilled argue, ask, listen, and arguing with peers. Students are trained in respect of various opinions and ideas from friends. In this context, the child is seen as a writer who grew up in the midst of a social community. In accordance opinions Halliday (in Reid, 1993) states that the child as the author is part of the social community and children construct meaning in a social context. Thus, the potential of the students can grow and develop naturally.

Learning writing is also directly associated with cognitive competence. Cognitive competence of students emerging from its cognitive style. Therefore, before learning starts teachers should also pay attention to students' cognitive styles. The importance of considering the cognitive styles of students in learning activities are also proposed by Dunn and Dunn (1999) that the cognitive styles of students should be taken into consideration when learning activities designed for students. Furthermore it is said that the cognitive styles can be used to predict the type of learning the most effective method possible. Cognitive style refers to how individuals process information and use strategies to respond to the tasks. Cognitive style is a consistent trend and characteristics of individuals in receiving, remembering, organizing, processing, thinking, and problems solving. Golstein & Blackman (in Faiola and Matei, 2009) suggests that cognitive style is typical ways in which people: (1) is conceptually organize their environment; and (2) spontaneously filter and process environmental stimuli so that it can be psychologically meaningful.

According to Witkin (1977), cognitive styles are forms of functioning of the typical manner by a person's intellectual ability displayed in the activities of perceptual and intellectual activities. Keefe (1987) suggests that cognitive style is part of the learning style that depicts the relative behavior habits remain in a person to receive, to think, solve problems and to store information. From the definition, it is known that cognitive style involves a person's intellectual ability to process and store information. Furthermore, the experts have identified various dimensions or cognitive style. One of cognitive style, the *field dependence* (FD) and *field independence* (FI).

C. SHAPE OF MODEL INVESTIGATION GROUP IN LEARNING WRITING

Learning writing as one aspect of learning Indonesian in less dealt with seriously. In general, students in primary schools never get the material how to write correctly (Anshori, 2003). Teachers tend to prioritize mastering the material that directly

affects student success in working on the final exam or National final examination. In fact, learning to write is a set of complex and difficult process that requires a clear methodological framework of learning at all stages of learning (Knapp & Watkins, 2005).

Nunan (1999) argued that the writing skill to produce a coherent, good and large is the most difficult skill to learn language skills among others. This statement suggests that learning writing has the highest level of difficulty compared with learning listening, reading, and speaking. Thus, it should be learning writing in elementary school get serious and adequate attention by all parties.

Associated with the statement, the following examples are presented writing activities by applying a model investigation group taken from certain parts of the lesson plan (RPP) for the first meeting with KD summarizing the contents of the book.

LESSON PLAN (MODEL INVESTIGATION GROUP)

Subject : Bahasa Indonesia School : SDN Pandawa

Class/Semester : V/2

Time : 2 X 35 Menit

Meetings: 1.

- Standard of Competence
 Express thoughts, feelings, information and facts written in the form of summaries, reports, and free poem.
- b) Basic Competence Summarizing the contents of selected books themselves with regard to the use of spelling (KD 8.1)
- c) Indicators
 - Noting that the topic sentence in each paragraph in a popular science book/ reading
 - 2. Writing the main points of popular science book/reading selected.
 - Assembling the main points of the contents of popular science books/ reading is selected to be a summary.
 - Writing a summary of the contents of the book/reading selected with due regard to

- the content, systematic, sentences, and spelling.
- Assessing summary of the contents of popular science books/reading written selected friends.
- Able to enhance the summary content of popular science books/reading selected based on assessment of friends.
- d) Learning Objectives

After completion the student is able to KBM.

- Noting that the topic sentence in each paragraph in a popular science book/ reading correctly.
- 2. Writing the main points of popular science book/reading correctly selected.
- Assembling the main points of the content of science books/reading is selected to be a summary of the enhanced attention to spelling.
- Writing a summary of the contents of the book/reading selected with due regard to the content, systematic, sentences, and spelling.
- Assessing summary of the contents of popular science books/reading written selected appropriately friends.
- Improving summary of the contents of popular science books/reading based assessment in accordance with the spelling friend enhanced.
- e) Learning Materials
 - 1. Elements Builders paragraph
 - 2. Writing summaries of popular science books
 - a. Principles of popular books
 - b. Systematic summary
 - c. Language summaries of popular books
 - 3. Practicing writing summaries of the books
 - Assessing summaries of the contents of popular science books.
 - 5. Practicing enhance content summary popular science books
- f) Model, Type and Learning Methods

Proceeding of International Seminar on STKIP PGRI Tulungagung 2015

a. Model : Cooperative

b. Type : Group Investigation (IK)

c. Method: Discussion, Questions & Answers, lectures, inquiry

g) Steps Learning Activities

Stages	Teachers Activities	Students Activities	Time allocation (minute)
Initial Activity	 a. Teachers open with greetings and prepare the conditions for the classroom in learning activities b. Teachers convey basic competencies that must be achieved by students c. The teacher explained the activities that will be carried out related to the writing material for the students. 	 a. Students pay attention to the teacher's explanation and prepare to learn b. Pay attention and think to be able to achieve the basic competencies that have been submitted by teachers. c. Pay attention and ask to relate to the activities to be performed. 	10 minutes
Core Activities Grouping Stage (grouping)	and an including	 a. Students observe a number of popular science books or preferred reading and discuss with the group about the topics that are on it. b. Students join study groups based on their chosen topic or book an interesting read for investigation 	55 minutes
Planning Stage (planning)	 a. Teachers and students identified a number of popular science books or reading interesting to find a topic sentence of each paragraph and discussed. b. The teacher divides the study group and give books/literature to be read and discussed 	 a. Students find and choose a popular scientific book/reading which will be searched topic sentence in it. b. Students read selected books/reading (each group read the book/reading different)? c. Students studied the content of books read. 	
	classroom to monitor the activities of student conducting an investigation of selected books or reading. b. With teacher guidance, students investigate suit each task to collect data and information. c. Teachers guide students to process the data (identification, classification, interpretation) the results of its investigation d. Teachers guide students to note	a. Students read and conduct an investigation to find the topic sentence or main ideas in popular science books/reading selected. b. Students find some data categorized as a topic sentence or main ideas of each paragraph in the book/reading. c. The Students process the data in the form of content and topic sentence structure still needs to be discussed. l. Students create a topic sentence that exists in every paragraph in a book or reading selected.	

Presentations Stage (presenting)	a. Teachers guide the presentation of the findings of the topic sentence of each paragraph of the book/reading students write. b. Teacher guided students collaboratively revise the topic sentence is less precise and systematic sentence or word choice, spelling and punctuation are still wrong	 a. Students make a presentation on its findings to the class. b. Audiences (evaluate, clarify and submit questions or comments and improvements to the topic sentence served) c. Students summarize, and notes and revise the results of the writing if you experience an error d. Students combine multiple inputs from a friend to fix findings are still wrong collaboratively. 	
Presentations Stage (evaluating)	Evaluating teachers by providing performance tests on students to find a topic sentence correctly and put them into the appropriate sentence in accordance with the rules	Students work on assignments carefully and write a topic sentence in the book selected scientific/interesting reading.	*
Final Activity	Teachers shut lesson with motivating students to study hard and announces the next meeting students are required finding points of the contents of the book or reading correctly	Students pay attention to the teacher's explanation	5 minutes

D. REFERENCES

- _____. 1991. Language Teaching Methodology: A Tex Book for Teachers. New York: Prentice Hall.
- Anshori. 2003. Membaca dan Menulis: Tentang Budāya yang Gagap. Bandung: Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
- Cleary. L. M. dan Michael D. L. 1993. Linguistics For Teacher's. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Dunn, R., & Dunn, K. 1999. The Complete Guide to the Learning Strategies in service System. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Faiola, A, & Matei, S.A.2009. Cultural Cognitive Style and Web Design: Beyond a behavioral inquiry into computer-mediated communication. (Hyperlink"http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol1" http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol1 1/issue 1/faiola.html) taken November 2nd, 2014.
- Heaton, J. B. 1998. Writing English Language Test. USA: Longman Inc.
- Keefe, J. W. 1987. Learning Style Theory and Practice. Virginia: National Association of Secondary School Principals.

- Nunan. 1988. Designing Tasks For The Communicative Classroom. Cambridge: University Press.
- Nystrand. 1982. An Analysis of Errors in Written Communication. In M. Nystrand (Ed), What Written Know (pp. 57-74). New York: Academic Press.
- Raimes, Ann. 1983. Techniques in Teaching Writing. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Reid, Joy M. 1993. *Teaching ESL Writing*. Prentice Hall Regents.
- Tompskins, G. E. 2012. Teaching Writing: Balancing Process and Product. New York: Mac Millan. College Publishing Company.
- Witkin, H. A., Moore, C. A., Goodenough, D. R., dan Cox, P. W. 1977. Field Dependent and Field Independent Cognitive Style and Their Education. Review of Educational Research Winter, vol. 47, no. 1. (HYPERLINK http://www.jstor.org/stable/1169967) taken November 2nd, 2014.