



e - ISSN : 2477 - 3379 p - ISSN : 2548 - 7833



# JURNAL PENELITIAN PEMBELAJARAN

VOLUME 7 - NUMBER 2 - PAGE 147 - 332 - August 2021

## Published by: UNIVERSITAS NUSANTARA PGRI KEDIRI in Collaboration With

ASOSIASI PRODI OLAHRAGA PERGURUAN TINGGI PGRI (APOPI)

KH. ACHMAD DAHLAN STREET NUMBER 76 KEDIRI Website : http://ojs.unpkediri.ac.id/index.php/pjk Email : jurnal.sportif@unpkediri.ac.id



@jurnalsportif



@jurnal.sportif



ISSN: 2477 – 3379 (Online) ISSN: 2548 – 7833 (Print)

#### VOLUME 7 NUMBER 2 PAGE 147 - 332 August 2021

Jurnal SPORTIF: Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran is published three times a year in April, August, and December containing research papers in the field of sports. The focus and scope are educational sports, coaching sports, recreational sports, traditional sports, physical activity, sports biomechanics, sports pedagogy, sports physiology, and sports psychology.

#### Editor in Chief

M. Akbar Husein Allsabah, M.Or.

#### Peer Reviewer

Prof. Dr. Agus Kristiyanto, M.Pd. Prof. Dr. M. E. Winarno, M.Pd. Prof. Dr. Hj. Dewi Laelatul B., M. Kes, AIFO Prof. Dr. Yustinus Sukarmin, MS. Prof. Dr. dr. BM. Wara Kushartanti, M.S. Dr. Muhammad Muhyi, M.Pd. Dr. Fajar Junaedi, S.Sos, M.Si Kunjung Ashadi, M.Pd., AIFO. Agus Rusdiana, S.Pd., M.A., Ph.D. Ricky Wibowo, M.Pd. Dr. Mirza Hapsari Sakti Titis P., S.Gz. MPH. Jusuf Blegur, M.Pd. Imam Safei, S.Pd., M.Or. Mesa Rahmi Stephani, M.Pd. Sri Sumartiningsih, S. Si., M. Kes., Ph.D. dr. Novita Intan Arovah, MPH., Ph.D. Kuston Sultoni, S. Si., M. Pd. Fajar Awang Irawan, S. Si., M. Pd., Ph.D. Gustiana Mega Anggita, S. Pd. Jas., M.Or. Yulingga Nanda Hanief, S.Pd., M.Or.

(Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri)

(Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta) (Universitas Negeri Malang) (STIKES Kuningan) (Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta) (Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta) (Universitas Adi Buana Surabaya) (Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta) (Universitas Negeri Surabaya) (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia) (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia) (Universitas Gadjah Mada Yogyakarta) (Universitas Kristen Artha Wacana) (Institut Teknologi Bandung) (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia) (Universitas Negeri Semarang) (Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta) (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia) (Universitas Negeri Semarang) (Universitas Negeri Semarang) (Universitas Negeri Malang)

#### **Editorial Board**

Dr. Hendra Mashuri, M.Pd. Drs. Sugito, M.Pd. Dr. Setyo Harmono, M.Pd. Dr. Wasis Himawanto, S.Pd., M.Or. Ardhi Mardiyanto Indra P., M.Or. Dhedhy Yuliawan, M.Or. Dr. Budiman Agung Pratama, M.Pd. Nur Ahmad Muharram, S.Pd., M.Or. Reo Prasetiyo Herpandika, M.Pd. Rizki Burstiando, M.Pd. Wing Prasetya Kurniawan, M.Pd. Weda, M.Pd. M. Anis Zawawi, S.Pd., M.Or. Puspodari, M.Pd. Moh. Nurkholis, S.Pd., M.Or Rendhitya Prima Putra Muhammad Yanuar Rizky, M.Pd. Mokhammad Firdaus, M.Or

(Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha) (Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri) (Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri)

#### **EDITOR'S ADDRESS**

Departement Penjas Kampus I UNP Kediri Office KH. Achmad Dahlan Street Number 76 Kediri Telp. (0354) 771503, Fax. (0354) 771576 Website: ojs.unpkediri.ac.id/ index.php/pjk Email: jurnal.sportif@unpkediri.ac.id

#### PREFACE

Our sincere gratitude is expressed to Almighty God. Without His will and strength, the editorial team may not be able to publish Jurnal SPORTIF: Journal penelitian dan pembelajaran Volume 7 Issue 2 of the August 2021 edition online and in print. Starting in 2020, the editorial team has increased the frequency of publications that were originally published in May and November to be published in April, August, and December. In addition, the scope is also expanded, some of the scope of science added include physical activity, sports biomechanics, sports pedagogy, sports physiology, and sports psychology. Jurnal SPORTIF: Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran has been accredited by **SINTA 2** by **the Ministry of Research**, **Technology, and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia** as an achievement of peer-reviewed journals that have outstanding quality in management and publication. This recognition is published in Director Decree No. 10 / E / KPT / 2019 4 April 2019 which is valid until 2023.

Jurnal SPORTIF: Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran is a medium of information and dissemination for research results in the field of sports. Jurnal SPORTIF: Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran is expected to actively and continuously disseminate the results of research for the development of science and technology (IPTEK) in the field of sports in Indonesia. This edition features 10 articles. All articles have been reviewed through a rigorous review process by reviewers/interested partners.

We would like to express our appreciation and gratitude to the writers and reviewers. This edition comes with an index that loads after the preface page to help readers find the page. Hopefully JURNAL SPORTIF: Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran can be useful and able to improve the quality of research results for lecturers, teachers, researchers, students, and practitioners.

> Kediri, 31 August 2021 Editor in Chief

1/m

M. Akbar-Husein Allsabah M.Or.

# Jurnal SPORTIF: Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran HAS BEEN INDEXED BY:





ISSN: 2477 - 3379 (Online)

ISSN: 2548 - 7833 (Print)

### VOLUME 7 NUMBER 2 PAGE 147 - 332 August 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
Is e-sport a part of sports? Resti Molina Fizi, Wawan Sundawan Suherman, Fitri Agung Nanda	147 – 158
Measurable training program to improve physical performance: literature review Muhammad Fachrul Ihsan, Ambrosius Purba, Hanna Goenawan, Ali Satia Graha, Daniel Womsiwor	159 - 179
Effect of hydration education with whatsapp group media in increasing in fluid knowledge, fluid intake and hydration status of football athletes	180 - 103
Nissa	100 - 195
The increase of uncoupling protein-1 expression after moderate intensity continuous exercises in obese females Sugiharto, Banih Sakti Adji, Desiana Merawati, Adi Pranoto	194 - 205
Kinematics analysis of freestyle swimming athletes at the 2019 Indonesia Open Aquatic Championship (IOAC) Billy Emir Rizkanto, Afif Rusdiawan	206 - 218
Effectiveness body weight strength training and plyometric in the speed and agility taekwondo athletes Alfian Noha Zulkarnain, Agus Kristiyanto, Noer Rachma	219 - 231
The application of hand-eye coordination test guidelines for table tennis using audio visual media Dennis Dwi Kurniawan, Alfonsus Maria Bandi Utama, Rizki Mulyawan	232 - 247
Comparison of cryotherapy and foam rolling on performance and lactate levels in futsal athletes Thirsia Ghea Yolanda Putri, Caly Setiawan, Rahmat Hermawan, Elsa Ariestika	248 – 257
Profile of the physical condition of the determinant of the serve and skills on the court tennis service Muhammad Ali, Slamet Supriono, Turiyem, Syarifah	258 – 269
Axiology of lego-lego dance in sports perspective Carles Nyoman Wali, Komarudin, Doni Pranata	270 – 285
Self-confidence using technology, understanding of TPACK and teaching quality of physical education's teacher candidate while online learning Gita Febria Friskawati	286 – 302

Literacy dimension in physical education: analysis of construct validity instrument Febi Kurniawan, Dhedhy Yuliawan	303 – 316
Improving the results of triple jump exercise using image and video media Victor Simanjuntak, Hendri Maksum	317 - 332

#### THANK YOU

WRITING GUIDELINES



# Literacy dimension in physical education: analysis of construct validity instrument

#### Febi Kurniawan<sup>1</sup>, Dhedhy Yuliawan<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Physical Education, Singaperbangsa Karawang University, HS. Ronggo Waluyo, East Telukjambe, Karawang, West Java, 41361, Indonesia
 <sup>2</sup>Physical Rducation, Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri, Ahmad Dahlan Street Number 76, Mojoroto, Kediri City, East Java 64112, Indonesia

Received: 22 July 2021; Revised: 16 August 2021; Accepted: 31 August 2021

#### Abstract

This research aims to test the dimension of literacy in physical education in students at Singaperbangsa Karawang University. Research is conducted using quantitative approaches with survey methods. The population in this study was all student-athletes in various sports at Singaperbangsa Karawang University which amounted to 721 people. The study sample was determined using proportional random sampling techniques totalling 342 people. The dimensions of physical literacy used are the dimensions of variation and utilization of books (BK), dimensions of variation and use of scientific articles (WM), dimensions of variation and utilization of technology (TECH), and dimensions of environmental variation (LK). Data analysis used is Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) with Second-Order Confirmation Factor (SOCF) with Lisrel program version 8.80. Data analysis showed that the literacy scale developed for physical learning is a valid and reliable scale and consists of 15 indicators. The dimension of variety and use of writing materials is the most dominant in shaping college student literacy.

**Keywords:** Literacy, Physical education, Construct validity

#### INTRODUCTION

 $\odot \odot$ 

Physical learning is a complex educational process through the medium of physical activity that focuses on achieving all domains of learning objectives consisting of cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains. (Pasaribu, 2016), simultaneously developed in a quality learning design (Kanca, 2018). Education in Indonesia must play a role in preparing human resources through the educational process, where physical education is an integral part of achieving these goals (Abildsnes et al., 2015; Nugroho et al., 2018; Nurkholis, 2013). In addition, the problems in physical learning to date have never subsided and are

Jurnal SPORTIF: Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Correspondence author: Febi Kurniawan, Singaperbangsa Karawang University, Indonesia. Email: febi.kurniawan@fkip.unsika.ac.id

multidimensional, for example, related to student literacy skills in the learning process that have not been maximally measured. Whereas in the international world, physical literacy has begun to develop and be developed because it has an important role in the physical, psychological, behavioural, attitude, and social development and growth of school children (Edwards et al., 2017; Neibert, 2013). In Indonesia, the attention to developing physical literacy in various activities has not been seen, but it is this concept that frames the objectives of physical activity education in the curriculum (Dinham & Williams, 2019).

Literacy in contemporary contexts is defined being as knowledgeable and able to construct, represent and communicate meaning in various contexts by using conventions and symbol systems from a particular field or subject (Dinham & Williams, 2019; Gustian, 2020; Park, 2017). Literacy is a symbol, system and sound system that contains meaning. Literacy activities are important to do to get information that suits our interests. Physical literacy is the foundation for the formation of behaviour, awareness, understanding of active lifestyles, pleasure in doing activities, the ability to identify, understand, interpret, respond effectively in the use of body movement abilities in a wide and varied context (Scott et al., 2021; Tremblay & Lloyd, 2009). Physical literacy can also be said as motivation or confidence in forming competence, knowledge and understanding in being responsible for the involvement of lifelong physical activity (Whitehead, 2019). This makes literacy an important part of human life towards a better quality of life.

The education system in several developed countries has integrated physical literacy into their educational curriculum, starting from early childhood education, including Australia (Dinham & Williams, 2019). Physical literacy is considered as one of the most important life skills so that a person can participate actively in society (Roetert & Jefferies, 2014), so that physical literacy needs to be developed in everyone (Roetert & Couturier, 2015). Physical literacy is the development of movement skills and self-confidence so that they have the motivation and ability to understand, believe in their abilities, apply a healthy lifestyle with an active lifestyle, and have good movement skills (Gustian, 2020). Physical literacy is seen as an important life skill for active participation in society (Roetert & Jeffries, 2014; Basoglu, 2018) and should be seen as an important factor in developing all students in educational settings (Roetert & Couturier, 2015). Physical literacy momentum comes mainly from within the field of physical education. Scott et al., (2021) explained that physical literacy is not the same as physical education nor does it replace it. Physical literacy is the goal of a physical education curriculum that provides a strong philosophical basis and unifies a research and development platform for an inclusive physical education curriculum model that aims to develop each child's physical and active lifestyle adoption throughout the ages.

Construct validity is an operationalized concept so that it can be measured through empirical observation (Baskarada, 2014). Recent philosophy has paid attention to the logic of justification of measures, such as construct validation, but not to the question of what it means for an instrument to be a valid construct measure. The salient approach bases validity on the existence of a causal relationship between attributes and their detectable manifestations. Some of its proponents claim that validity does not depend on pragmatics and research context (Philippi, 2020). This construct validity test also refers to research conducted in proving that literacy is an important part of physical activity (Giblin et al., 2014; Li et al., 2020; Yılmaz & Kabak, 2021). Therefore, a study of construct validity is needed to provide scientific theoretical evidence in applying literacy to physics.

Based on the results of the construction of literacy theory in physical learning, it can be concluded that the implementation of learning literacy is important, especially in physical education. This is because physical education requires motivation and effort. Students' academic improvement is expected to know the level of literacy as the basis of the learning process. Therefore, a valid and reliable physical education literacy scale is needed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) through this study.

#### METHOD

The quantitative approach used in this study was through a crosssectional technique of filling out the literacy dimension questionnaire in the physical education of Singaperbangsa Karawang University students. The research location is in Karawang, West Java, Indonesia. The research population was all students at Singaperbangsa Karawang University, amounting to 721 people. The sampling technique uses proportional random sampling, where each sport is represented.

The instrument used is a physical education literacy questionnaire filled out by students who are active in each sport. The instrument is composed of the results of theoretical construction taken four dimensions, namely (1) the dimensions of variation and use of books (BK), (2) the dimensions of variation and the use of scientific article sources (WM), (3) the dimensions of variation and technology utilization (TECH), and (4) dimensions of environmental variation (LK) (Dynia et al., 2018). The 21-item literacy instrument items with answer choices are (1) strongly disagree, (2) disagree, (3) quite agree, (4) agree, and (5) strongly agree. The number of samples can be seen in Table 1, while the instrument grid is in Table 2.

Sports	Amount Athlete	Sample Study
Athletics	41	22
Basketball	51	21
Volleyball	59	28
Badminton	31	12
Cricket	21	13
Paddle	27	14
Futsal	69	26
Handball	31	17
Karate	29	16
Archery	25	12
Martial arts	35	16
Swimming	38	18
Gymnastics	49	23
Aerobics	48	26
Sepak takraw	36	19
Football	87	37
Taekwondo	29	14
Woodball	15	8
Amount	721	342

#### Table 1. Number of Research Subjects

#### Table 2. Grid of literacy questionnaire instruments in physical education

Dimension	Aspect	
Variation and use of books	Class library	
(BK)	Book information	
	book concept	
	Updated book theme	
	Time spent in the library	
Variety and use of scientific	Availability of scientific article resources	
articles (WM)	Complete scientific article references	
	Time spent reviewing scientific articles	
	Availability of guides for searching scientific	
	articles	
Technology variation and	Audiovisual centre	
utilization (TECH)	Availability of computers	
	Time spent listening to audio-visual and	
	computer	
	IT-based learning media	
	Physical education learning videos	
Environmental variations	Availability of sports equipment	
	Printing material	
	Produced posts	
	The suitability of the resulting writing	
	Relevant labels or wall writing	
	Writing portfolio	

Data analysis used Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) with second-order or Secondary Order Confirmatory Factor Analysis (SOCF). according to Hair et al., (2014), SOCF is the CFA of a construct that has several dimensions of the construct as measured by its indicators. The data were tested based on the size of the model fit and construct validity using the Lisrel 8.80 program. The criteria used to determine the size of the appropriate model fit are goodness of fit which consists of absolute fit measures, incremental fit indices, and parsimony fit indices. Meanwhile, to determine the criteria for construct validity, the standardized factor loading value and the t-value obtained are used.

Estimation of the reliability of literacy instruments in physical education is carried out using composite reliability and construct reliability. The estimation of the composite reliability of literacy instruments in physical education was analyzed using the Alpha formula from Cronbach, namely as follows (Retnawati, 2016). The above criteria are used as a reference to state the construct. Good reliability refers to if the construct reliability coefficient must be≥0.6 then the literacy instrument in physical education meets good criteria.

#### RESULTS

#### **Pre-analysis**

The initial analysis was carried out on data consisting of 21 statements that represent the literacy dimensions in physical education. The analysis is carried out by modifying the model by freeing several items that contain measurement errors to correlate. Based on these data, the results of the analysis obtained are as follows.

No.	Goodness of Fit	Acceptable Values	Fit Values	Decision
1.	GFI	$GFI \ge 0.90$	0.93	Accepted
2.	AGFI	$AGFI \ge 0.85$	0.90	Accepted
3.	CFI	$CFI \ge 0.90$	0.94	Accepted
4.	IFI	$IFI \ge 0.90$	0.94	Accepted

**Table 3**. Results of Preliminary Analysis of CFA 2nd order

Table 3 above displays the results of the measurement of the fit of the model as well as the criteria for acceptable or perfect fit values according to (Hair et al., 2014; Korkmaz, 2016). Based on these data, it can be seen that the goodness of fit value in the model has met the required interval, so it can be said that the model obtained is appropriate. Furthermore, the construct validity test was conducted to determine the significance of the items in measuring the literacy dimension in physical education. Testing is done by looking at the value of the standardized factor loading and t-value. The value received in the test is more than 0.5 for the standardized factor loading and more than 1.96 for the t-value. The results of the construct validity test can be shown in the following second-order CFA diagram.



Figure 1. Preliminary Analysis Diagram with 2nd Order CFA Based on Standardized Factor Loading



Figure 2. Preliminary Analysis Diagram with CFA 2nd Order Based on t-Value

Figure 1 shows that the standardized factor loading output for items BK1, BK3, BK4, BK5, WM1, WM2, WM3, TECH1, TECH2, TECH3, TECH4, TECH5, LK1, LK2, and LK3 has a loading factor of more than 0.4, but not for items BK2, WM4, WM5, TECH6, LK4, and LK5 which obtain a value less than 0.4 ie. Diagram 2 shows that the t-value for all items has met the test criteria, which is more than 1.96. Thus, there are six items from the dimensions that are not valid in measuring literacy in physical education. This resulted in items BK2, WM4, WM5, TECH6, LK4, and LK5 being eliminated so that in the next analysis there were 15 items analyzed.

Figure 1 and 2 also show that the standardized factor loading outputs from the dimensions of BK, WM, TECH, and LK are 0.53, 0.97, 0.88, and 0.44, respectively. This value has met the criteria of greater than 0.4. In addition, the t-value of each resulting dimension is 4.97, 7.09, 7.76, and 4.35 or greater than 1.96. Therefore, it can be said that each dimension contributes to the formation of literacy skills dimensions in physical education.

#### **Reanalysis Results**

Reanalysis was carried out on 15 items which were the results of the evaluation of the initial data analysis. The analysis is carried out by modifying the model by freeing some items that contain measurement errors to correlate with items. Based on the data analysis carried out, the following results were obtained.

No.	Goodness of Fit	Acceptable Values	Fit Values	Decision
1.	GFI	<i>GFI</i> ≥ 0.90	0.93	Accepted
2.	AGFI	$AGFI \ge 0.85$	0.88	Accepted
3.	CFI	$CFI \ge 0.90$	0.97	Accepted
4.	IFI	$IFI \ge 0.90$	0.97	Accepted

 Table 4. 2nd order CFA Reanalysis Results

Table 4 shows that the model obtained is appropriate. This is indicated by the goodness of fit value that has been fulfilled at the required interval. So it can be said that the dimensions of literacy. These four dimensions are described in 15 statement items that have been tested with second-order CFA. The test results are shown in the image below.



Figure 3. CFA 2 Reanalysis Diagram Based on Standardized Factor Loading



Figure 4. Reanalysis Diagram of 2nd CFA Based on t-Value

Based on Figures 3 and 4, it can be seen that the standardized factor loading and t-value values for all items have been met. The t-value for 15 items is greater than t-table 1.96, so it can be concluded that these items contribute to each indicator of the dimensions of literacy in physical education. In addition, the standardized factor loading value for each dimension of BK, WM, TECH, and LK > 0.5, means that each dimension contributes to literacy.

#### DISCUSSION

Based on the results of the study, it is known that the model resulting from the reanalysis is appropriate and meets the requirements of the goodness of fit value, standardized factor loading, and the required t-value. This shows that the modelled statement contributes to the formation of student literacy in various sports at Singaperbangsa University Karawang, West Java. The statement was developed based on the dimensions of variation and use of books (BK), dimensions of variation and technology utilization (TECH), and dimensions of environmental variation (LK).

The results of this study also show that the largest standardized factor loading value of the four literacy dimensions is the WM dimension: the dimension of variation and the use of scientific articles with a loading factor of 0.98. This shows that the WM dimension is the dominant dimension in shaping student literacy. The use of variety and the use of scientific articles improve literacy skills because they are well available. Ease of access and easy access to the variety and use of scientific articles improves students' understanding and literacy skills (Thota & Berglund, 2016). So these results illustrate that student literacy in physical learning activities that involve several contexts will be able to increase student literacy levels in the physical learning process. The lowest loading factor value is the dimension of environmental variation (LK) with a value of 0.38. This shows that the contribution of the LK dimension to student literacy in physical education is not large. The lack of sensitivity to the learning environment influences the literacy level of students (Durmus & Kinaci, 2021; Nunez & Clores, 2017).

Efforts to measure and improve physical literacy should be the main focus in sports education as an effort to improve physical activity skills, self-confidence, and understanding abilities (Roetert & Jefferies, 2014). Appropriate and sustainable measurement of physical literacy can be the basis for educators/trainers (Roetert & MacDonald, 2015) in implementing learning and training systems that suit their needs. Thus, physical education must be aligned with the development of knowledge and technology, linking knowledge and behaviour change, and we must advocate for drastic changes in policies and curricula.

#### CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that the literacy scale developed for physical learning is valid and reliable and consists of 15 statements. The dimensions that are the basis for developing the scale are the literacy dimensions, which consist of BK: dimensions of variation and use of books, WM: dimensions of variation and use of scientific articles, TECH: dimensions of variation and

technology utilization, and LK: dimensions of environmental variation. In addition, the dimensions of WM: the dimensions of variation and the use of scientific articles are the most dominant in shaping student literacy.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The researcher would like to thank the Singaperbangsa Karawang University for providing support to develop science in the field of research, to produce a scientific article that can be published. Thank you to the editors and reviewers of the Sportif Journal for being willing to review and publish our research articles.

### REFERENCE

- Abildsnes, E., Stea, T. H., Berntsen, S., Omfjord, C. S., & Rohde, G. (2015). Physical education Teachers ' and public health Nurses ' perception of Norwegian high school Students ' participation in physical education – a focus group study. *BMC Public Health*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2660-y
- Baskarada, S. (2014). Qualitative Case Study Guidelines. *Hcas Journals*, *19*(40), 1–18. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2014.1008
- Basoglu, U. D. (2018). The Importance of Physical Literacy for Physical Education and Recreation. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 6(4), 139. https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v6i4.3022
- Cortina, J. M. (1993). What Is Coefficient Alpha? An Examination of Theory and Applications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(1), 98–104. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.78.1.98
- Dinham, J., & Williams, P. (2019). Developing children's physical literacy: How well prepared are prospective teachers? *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, *44*(6), 52–68. https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2018v44n6.4
- Durmus, E., & Kinaci, M. K. (2021). Opinions of Social Studies Teacher Education Students about the Impact of Environmental Education on Ecological Literacy. *Review of International Geographical Education Online*, *11*(2), 482–501. https://doi.org/10.33403/rigeo.825516
- Dynia, J. M., Schachter, R. E., Piasta, S. B., Justice, L. M., O'Connell, A. A., & Yeager Pelatti, C. (2018). An empirical investigation of the dimensionality of the physical literacy environment in early childhood classrooms. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, 18(2), 239–263. https://doi.org/10.1177/1468798416652448
- Edwards, L. C., Bryant, A. S., Keegan, R. J., Morgan, K., & Jones, A. M. (2017). Definitions, Foundations and Associations of Physical Literacy: A Systematic Review. *Sports Medicine*, 47(1), 113–126. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0560-7

- Geldhof, G. J., Preacher, K. J., & Zyphur, M. J. (2014). *Reliability Estimation in a Multilevel Confirmatory Factor Analysis Framework*. *19*(1), 72–91. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032138
- Giblin, S., Collins, D., & Button, C. (2014). Physical literacy: Importance, assessment and future directions. *Sports Medicine*, *44*(9), 1177–1184. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0205-7
- Gustian, U. (2020). Permainan tradisional: suatu pendekatandalam mengembangkanphysical literacysiswa sekolah dasar. *Jurnal SPORTIF: Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran*, *6*(1), 199–215. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.29407/js\_unpgri.v6i1.14252
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (Seventh Ed). Pearson Education Limited. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351269360
- Kanca, I. N. (2018). Menjadi Guru Pendidikan Jasmani Olahraga Dan Kesehatan Di Abad 21. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional IPTEK Olahraga*, 21–27.
- Korkmaz, F. (2016). Developing the Scale of Teacher Self-Efficacy in Teaching Process. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 5(2), 73–83. https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.5.2.73
- Li, M. H., Sum, R. K. W., Tremblay, M., Sit, C. H. P., Ha, A. S. C., & Wong, S. H. S. (2020). Cross-validation of the Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy second edition (CAPL-2): The case of a Chinese population. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 38(24), 2850–2857. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1803016
- Neibert, P. J. (2013). Note: This article will be published in a forthcoming issue of the Journal of Sport Rehabilitation. The article appears here in its accepted, peer-reviewed form, as it was provided by the submitting author. It has not been copyedited, proofed, or f.
- Nugroho, K. A., Kristiyanto, A., Doewes, M., Sebelas, U., Jalan, M., Sutami, I., & Surakarta, K. (2018). *Implementasi pendidikan jasmani dalam international primary curriculum.* 6(36), 110–119.
- Nunez, M. B., & Clores, M. A. (2017). Environmental Literacy of K 10 Student Completers. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 12(5), 1195–1215.
- Nurkholis. (2013). PENDIDIKAN DALAM UPAYA MEMAJUKAN TEKNOLOGI Oleh: Nurkholis Doktor Ilmu Pendidikan, Alumnus Universitas Negeri Jakarta Dosen Luar Biasa Jurusan Tarbiyah STAIN Purwokerto. 1(1), 24–44.
- Park, Y. (2017). Examining South Korea 's Elementary Physical Education Performance Assessment Using Assessment Literacy Perspectives. 10(2). https://doi.org/10.26822/iejee.2017236116
- Pasaribu, A. M. N. (2016). Pengaruh Gaya Mengajar dan Motivasi Belajar Passing Bawah dalam Permainan Bola Voli pada Siswa SMP Kelas VIII Tahun 2013/2011114. *Jurnal Sportif*, 2(2), 76–84. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.29407/js\_unpgri.v2i2.510
- Philippi, C. L. (2020). Valid for What? On the Very Idea of Unconditional Validity. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, *51*(2), 151–175. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0048393120971169

- Retnawati, H. (2016). Validitas dan Reliabilitas & Karakteristik Butir. Parama Publishing.
- Roetert, E. P., & Couturier, L. (2015). ScienceDirect Unpacking the physical literacy concept for K-12 physical education : What should we expect the learner to master? *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, *4*(2), 108–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2015.03.002
- Roetert, E. P., & Jefferies, S. C. (2014). Embracing Physical Literacy. Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 85(8), 38–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2014.948353
- Roetert, E. P., & MacDonald, L. C. (2015). Unpacking the physical literacy concept for K-12 physical education: Whatshould we expect the learner to master? *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, *4*(2), 108–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2015.03.002
- Scott, J. J., Hill, S., Barwood, D., & Penney, D. (2021). Physical literacy and policy alignment in sport and education in Australia. *European Physical Education Review*, 27(2), 328–347. https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X20947434
- Thota, N., & Berglund, A. (2016). Learning computer science: Dimensions of variation within what Chinese students learn. *ACM Transactions on Computing Education*, *16*(3). https://doi.org/10.1145/2853199
- Tremblay, M., & Lloyd, M. (2009). Physical Literacy Measurement The Missing Piece.
- Whitehead, M. (2019). Definition of Physical Literacy and Clarification of related Issues. *ICSSPE Bulletin*, *1.2*(65), 239–254. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203702697-17
- Yılmaz, A., & Kabak, S. (2021). Perceived Physical Literacy Scale for Adolescents (PPLSA): Validity and Reliability Study. International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies, 9(1), 159–171. http://www.journals.aiac.org.au/index.php/IJELS/article/view/6485





### p - ISSN : 2548 - 7833 e - ISSN : 2477 - 3379





Published by : PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN JASMANI KESEHATAN DAN REKREASI UNIVERSITAS NUSANTARA PGRI KEDIRI in Collaboration With ASOSIASI PRODI OLAHRAGA PERGURUAN TINGGI PGRI (APOPI)