

UNIVERSITAS NUSANTARA PGRI KEDIRI PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS

Terakreditasi BAN-PT No. 13056/SK/BAN-PT/Ak-PPJ/XII/2021, Tanggal 14 Desember 2021 Alamat : JL. K.H. Achmad Dahlan No. 76 Telp. & Fax : (0354) 771576, 771503 Kediri Website : www.english.fkip@unpkediri.ac.id E-mail : english.fkip@unpkediri.ac.id

SURAT KETERANGAN BEBAS PLAGIASI

Nomor : 50/Bing-UN PGRI/VIII/2023

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ir	ni,
Nama	: Khoiriyah, M.Pd.
NIDN	: 0719017501
Jabatan	: Ketua Prodi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris
Menyatakan bahwa:	
Nama	: ARESTU MULYA MARJUKI TANJUNG
NPM	: 19101080040
Judul Skripsi	: HE INFLUENCE OF INPUT FLOODING TOWARD
	ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS' GRAMMAR MASTERY
	IN SMK PGRI 2 KEDIRI IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR
	2022/2023

Telah melakukan cek plagiasi pada dokumen Skripsi dengan hasil sebesar 9% dan dinyatakan bebas dari unsur-unsur plagiasi.

Demikian surat tugas ini dibuat untuk dilaksanakan dengan penuh tanggung jawab. Atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya disampaikan terimakasih.

Kediri, 16 Agustus 2023 Kaprodi,



Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report Similarity Found: 9%

Date: Wednesday, August 16, 2023 Statistics: 850 words Plagiarized / 9981 Total words Remarks: Low Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Optional Improvement.

THE INFLUENCE OF INPUT FLOODING TOWARD ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS' GRAMMAR MASTERY IN SMK PGRI 2 KEDIRI IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2022/2023 CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION This chapter will be present and discuss about the following points: a) background of the problem, b) identification of the problem, c) limitation of the research, d) formulation of the problem, e) objective of the research, f) significant of the research.

Background of The Problem iOne iof ithe iimportant icomponents iin ithe iprocess iof ilearning iEnglish iis iGrammar. iNot ionly imastering ivocabulary iand iphrases. iLearning igrammar ican isupport ispeaking iand iwriting iskills. iGrammar iis ia irule ithat iapplies ito ithe iuse iof ilanguage iboth iorally iand iin iwriting. iThe iuse iof iproper igrammar iwill iproduce iclear isentences iand iparagraphs. iThe iarrangement iof isentences iand iparagraphs iis ineatly istructured.

iSo, iwhat iis imeant iin isentences iand iparagraphs ican ibe iunderstood, iand ican ihelp isomeone iconvey iinformation iand icommunicate iwell. iRegardless iof igrammar, isentences ior iparagraphs ithat iare iformed itend ito ihave iirregular ipatterns iand iambiguous imeanings. iWriting iand ispeaking iskills iwith iaccurate igrammar ihave ia iunique irole iin iprofessional ilevel icommunication i(Brown, i2008).

iTherefore, ito iavoid iit, ilearning igrammar iis iimportant ito ido. Grammar is the main part of a language that distinguishes it from other languages, which can be said grammar is a language identity. Grammar also has a significant role in communication. Communication is two-way, in which one must understand one another.

To avoid misunderstandings, words that are spoken or written should not cause confusion. Here grammar serves to convey the full meaning of these words. The grammar makes the sentence or paragraph obvious. Grammar thus enhances their communication. Yet, students often experience difficulties during grammar study. This may be the internal factor of either the student or the external from the environment or the teacher.

Among the internal factors, students tend to think grammar is confusing, students cannot understand grammar in formulas, and students don't like grammar lessons because they are boring. Students are also not interested in learning grammar. Students always feel insecure when they want to express their opinions, because students are afraid of being wrong.

This is because they do not understand a target in learning grammar and are very passive. Then the external factor that is a problem in grammar learning is the teacher's less-interactive and passive learning method of the student. Teachers still use the traditional question and answer learning method and use worksheets as a reference.

Extensive examination of the EPHL suggests that, grammatical problems are especially evident in the student's ability to produce accurate written or spoken forms. Even after English as Second Language Learners (ESL) learn to create a form that is rather substantive, well organized and cohesive, many still attempt to free themselves from the linguistic level that distinguishes them from their native speakers (Nassaji & Fotos, 2011).

With these obstacles, it often hinders students' grammar skills in both writing and speaking skills. Moreover, they can even influence the audience's opinion about the language ability of the writer or speaker (Nassaji & Fotos, 2011). Considering these vital contextual issues, this research study offers a brief argument for the role of input flooding through text tasks in the form of mastery of grammar targets among students of SMK PGRI 2 Kediri.

Input flooding is a focus-on-form intervention in which the input that is provided to learners is seeded with multiple examples of a target structure. An important source of learning for L2 is receiving input (Nassaji & Fotos 2011). Students' difficulties in receiving input can be answered with the input flooding learning technique.

The aim is to increase learners' attention to language form by translating input in a relatively more convincingmanner. This enhancement of text by highlighting certain aspects of the input through various tools such as adding bolding, underlining, and italicizing in written input, or symbols such as adding stress or repetition in the mouth.

It is hoped that sufficient exposure to the same target form in the input will make it more prominent, and thus, will draw the learner's attention to the linguistic form (Hernández, 2008). In this technique, students are given many examples of certain target

forms in the input (both spoken and written). The assumption here is that frequent examples of the same target shape make it stand out perceptibly, drawing the learner's attention to the shape (Nassaji & Fotos, 2011).

Based on the description above, the authors are interested in conducting research on the influence of input flooding techniques on grammar skills, especially the simple present tense in eleventh grade students of SMK PGRI 2 Kediri in academic year 2022/2023 Identification of the Problem In relation to background of the problem above, the following problems can be identified: Teachers still use the traditional question and answer learning method and use worksheets as a reference.

Students feel bored and do not pay attention. Students tend to think grammar is confusing and students don't like grammar lessons because they are boring. Students are also not interested in learning grammar. Students always feel insecure when they want to express their opinions, because students are afraid of being wrong.

This is because they do not understand a target in learning grammar and are very passive. Students have difficulty in using grammatical formulas and also combining a word to form a sentence using the correct grammar. The use of vocabulary in input flooding that must be adjusted to the ability of students so that students can understand the subject according to the target.

Limitation of the research Based on the identification pf the problem above, a limiting problem will be needed in order for the study to focus on the problem at hand. As for the problems in this research are limited as follows: 1. The students referred to in this study are students of Eleventh Grade SMK PGRI 2 Kediri academic year 2022/2023. 2.

Input Flooding: Input flood is a learning technique by giving students lots of explanations or examples of targets both orally and in writing so that these targets stand out and attract students' attention. Input flood is a form of focus intervention in which the input given to the learner is seeded with several examples of the target structure. 3.

Teaching technique: Teaching technique is a teaching method used by a teacher to assist students in the learning process so that the target material can be met properly. The teaching technique usually contains the steps in teaching. 4. Grammar Mastery: Grammar mastery is knowledge in the structure of language and the ability to combine sentence units so that they become sentences that can be understood and fulfill the grammatical rules. 5. Simple present tense: simple present tense is a form of tenses that is used to express events that occur regularly, routinely, or are usually done in the present. 6. This research is focused on understanding grammar teaching especially the simple present tense by using input flooding. By providing sufficient exposure to the target form so that it can attract the attention of students in the field of grammar. D. Formulation of the Problem 1.

How is the students' grammar score before being taught by using input flooding technique? 2. How is the students' grammar score after being taught by using input flooding technique? 3. How is input flooding influence to increase students' grammar score? E.

Objectives of the research Based on the formulation of the problem above, objectives of the research are as follows: 1.To find out students' grammar mastery before before being taught by using the input flooding technique. 2. To find out the students' grammar mastery after being taught by using the input flooding technique. 3.

To find out the influnce of the input flooding learning tecnique on students' grammar mastery. F. Significance of the Research The results of this study are expected to be beneficial both theoretically and practically elaborated in the following section. Theoretical Significances This research provides a solution to find the right method in teaching grammar. 2. Practical Significance a.

For Students The results of this study can be used as a reference to improve students' ability to understand more about grammar mastery. b. For Teachers The results of this study can help teachers to more easily present material related to grammar. c. Other Researchers To provide additional information for other researchers who wish to conduct further research in the field related to grammar.

CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE The review of related literature is untended to sharpen the theoritical review and give a brief description of concepts discussed in this study. This chapter presents four topics four topics in the following order: a) theoritical review, b) previous study, c) thinking framework, d) rationale, and e) hypothesis.

Theoritical Review Grammar Grammar is the rules in a language for changing the form of the word and combaining the into sentences, Harmer (2007). According to Harmer (2007) in his book "The Practice of English Language Learning". Grammar of a language is the description of the ways in which words can change their forms and can be combined into sentences in that language. If grammar rules are too cerelessly violeted. Grammar rule is extremely difficult. According statement above means that grammar of language reveal about how the word can change their forms and how it can be combained into sentences. Once we know thwt grammatical rules of a language sub conciously. We are in a position to create an infinite number of sentences.

However, while some rules are fairly straight forward. Some rules are given about the use and combination of all these elements into clauses and sentences. The learner then strugglles to translate a foreign language text into the mother tongue, slowly, and painfully, trying to use bits of grammatical information when difficulty. According to Broughton and Greenwood (1968) in their book "success with English".

A feature of success with English which must be obvious is the lack of rules. There is unprescriptive grammar because we are teaching the language and not teaching about the language. From statement above, actually many of rules make unsuccess with English. Because the learner difficult to memorize and apply these rules in learning English.

So lack of rulles is a feature success with English. Grammar is a major part of a language that distinguishes it from another. Grammar or grammatical in other words, is a description involving the structure of language or how to combine the language units such as phrases or words to produce sentences consistent with the language's rules Richard & Haryanto (2007:10).

In the writing, Richard also notes that grammar consists of two elements, which are aspects of structure and semantics (meaning). These two aspects make it possible for a sentence to be understood and grammatically correct. It may be concluded that grammar isa set of linguistic rules, whether oral or written that allows others to grasp its meaning and express words so that the idea is acceptable. In the description of the previous vocabulary, content is defined as knowledge or mastery on a particular thing.

Another definition states that greatness is the ability to understand something learned, thus it can be said that grammar is knowledge in the structure of language and the ability to combine the units of sentences so as to become a comprehensible one and fulfill the grammatical rule. According to his opinion, the system of rules is classified into three main components of grammar, syntax, phonology and semantics.

Syntax is knowledge of the construction of words and sentences, phonology represents knowledge of the sounds of language according to function, whereas semantics is the

science of the meaning of words. Further, semantics as part of grammar is essential because it is semantics that will govern what a sentence means. Not only is a good sentence the correct spelling but it also contains understandable meaning.

A good sentence is what fills these two aspects, for there may be sentences that are correct in their semantics and otherwise. Grammar includes: a) Word order, b) Pronouns, c) Modals, d) Use of tenses, e) Passive Voice, f) Active participle. Simple iPresent iTense iSimple ipresent itense iis ithe irules iin igrammatical istructures iwhich iexpress ievent ior isituation ithat ialways, iusually, ihabitually.

iThe isimple ipresent itense isays ithat isomething iwas itrue iin ithe ipast, iis itrue iin ithe ipresent, iand iwill ibe itrue iin ithe ifuture. In iother iword isimple ipresent iis iused ifor igeneral istatements iof ifact. iRaymond iMurphy i(1994) iin ihis ibook i"English iin iGrammar iUse" istated ithat: i"We iuse ithe isimple ipresent itense ito italk iabout ithings igeneral.

iWe iare inot ithinking ionly iabout inow. iWe iuse iit ito isay ithat isomething ihappens iin iall ithe itime ior irepeatedly, ior ithat isomething iis itrue iin igeneral. ilt iis inot iimportant iwhether ithe iavtion iis ihappening iat ithe itime iof ispeaking".

iAccording istatement iabove iit imeans ithat isimple ipresent itense iis iused ito italk iabout ithings iin igeneral iand isomething ihappens iin iall itime ior irepeatedly. iBut isimple ipresent itense ididn't iattent iabout ithe itime iof ispeaking. iFor ibeginners, iit iis ialways iintroduced ito ithem ihow ito isay ithe ithe igeneral istatement iof ifact iand ihabitually iin ireal ilife.

iln iother iword isimple ipresent iis ia ifirst ibase ito ilearn iEnglish. iThe isimple ipresent itense iformula iis iSubject i+ iVerb i1(s/es) i+ iComplement ifor ipositive isentences iwith iverbal ipatterns. ilf ithe ipattern iis inominal, ithen ithe ipresent itense iformula ibecomes iSubject i+ iAuxiliary iVerb i(to ibe) i+ iComplement.

iNominal iSimple iPresent iTense, isimple ipresent itense iformula: (+) iSubject i+ iTo ibe i+ iComplement (-) iSubject i+ iTo ibe i+ iNot i+ iComplement (?) iTo ibe i+ iSubject i+ iComplement Verbal iSimple iPresent iTense Rumus i(+) i(-) i(?) idari isimple ipresent itense iuntuk ipola iverbal iadalah: (+) iSubject i+ iVerb i1 i(+ is/es) i+ iComplement (-) iSubject i+ iDo/Does iNot i+ iverb i1 i+ iComplement (?) iDo/does i+ isubject i+ iverb i1 i+ iComplement i? Input Flooding Input flooding is a way or technique to increase students' attention to the target goal, both orally and in writing by highlighting or giving a flood of input to the target. Input flooding this is implemented by enlarging and emphasizing a reading text, or by using trending words that can attract students' attention (Nassaji & Fotos, 2011). iAccording ito iWong i(2005) ian iinput iflood ican ibe ieither iwritten ior ioral. iIn ithe ioral imode ithe itarget ilinguistic iform iis iused ifrequently iin inatural ispeech, ior ia itext iincluding ithe itarget iis iwritten idown iand ithen iread iout iloud ito istudents.

iThe itarget iform iis inot iemphasized iin iany iway, ibut iit iis iassumed ithat ithe iform iis imore isalient ito ilearners ibecause iof iits ifrequency i(Han, iPark, i& iCombs, i2008) iand iwill itherefore ibe inoticed, ileading ito ieventual ilearning i(Gass, i1997). iAdding ior ienhancing ithe iquality iof ia iword ican imake ithe isubject istand iout imore.

iAccording ito iSharwood iSmith i(1991) ithat ichanging ithe iquality iof iinput ican istimulate ithe ilearner's iprocess iof ilanguage imaterials. iBased ion iSharwood iSmith's i(1991) ithat ichanging ithe iquality iof iinput ican istimulate ilearners' iprocessing iof ilinguistic imaterial. iSchmidt's i(2001) iNoticing iHypothesis iprovides ia itheoretical irationale ifor ithe iuse iof iinput ienhancement, ithe iaim iof iwhich iis ito idraw ilearners' iattention ito ilinguistic iforms ivia iformatting itechniques isuch ias ibolding, iitalicizing ior iunderlining.

The principles in the input flooding technique for students learning english grammar includes: a. Increase students' attention to the target goal, both orally and in writing by highlighting or giving a flood of input to the target. b. Presenting reading to students with enlarging and emphasizing a reading text, or by using trending words that can attract students' attention The implementation of the input flooding learning technique on students' grammar skills can be done through several steps. First, by analyzing students' grammar mastery.

second, by providing modified reading by giving symbols or emphasis on sentences or words that contain part of the grammar so that it can attract students' attention and students can remember the grammar of the text that has been presented. Based on Nunan (1995), explained that: "The most contempory course book and materials for teaching grammar attempt to estabilish game like situations in which the repetitive practice of the structure occours through a task which has a meaningfull dimension. In other words, the learner is not simply performing drills".

According to Nunan, there are two learning theories in teaching grammar: Deductive learning Deductive learning is an approach to language teaching in which learners are taught rules and give specific information about language. The learners then applies this rule when they use language. 1) Explaine the rules, form, pattern, meaning, and use 2) Give examples 3) Give exercise Using deductive learning, it enable for students to be

passive, because they just receive the explaination from the teacher.

Inductive learning Inductive learning is an approach to language teaching in which the learners are not taught grammatical or other types of rules directly but are left to discover or induce rules from their experience of using language. 1) Give examples 2) Find the rules, form, pattern, meaning, and use 3) Give exercise Using inductive learning, it anable for student to be active because they are used to find the rules, pattern, meaning, and use by themselves.

According to Nunan, there are three stages in teaching grammar: EGRA (Exposure/experience) To give the students exposure/experience to the new grammatical item. 1) Generalization 2) To find out the form, meaning, and use of the grammatical item. b. PGR 1) Practice To let the students practice the new grammatical item. 2) Generalization To find out the for, meaning, and the use of the new grammatical item.

3) Reinforcement To reinforce the grammatical item which the students have just learned. c. PPP 1) Presentation To present the new language/grammatical item. To identify the for, meaning and use of the new language item. 2) Practice To practice the language/grammatical item which the students have just learned (in controlled or limited way like the given example).

3) Production To let the students produce the language they have just learned for free communication, either in speaking or writing. Other simple opini about stage approach to teaching of grammar items also revealed by Nunan (1995), there are: Presentation Making the structure salient through an input text in which the item appears. Isolation and Explaination Ensuring that students understand the vairous aspects of the structure under investigation.

Practice Getting students to absord and master the language. Test Getting learners to demonstrate. There are come techniques for teaching grammar especially simple present tense such as teaching grammar through translation methode, presentation methode, games, drill and practice, etc. In this study, the writer observes the activities of teaching simple presen tense using input flooding learning technique.

There are the activities of teaching simple present using input flooding technique: a. The writer decides the grammar point (simple present tense), other structure and vocabulary which still dealing with the leasson, then make materials and preparation, for axample prepare a text description in the form of simple present tense by highlighting words that contain grammatical elements, especially simple present tense.

b. Then provides an explanation of grammar (simple present tense) starting from its uses and formulasThen the text is distributed to students. c. The next step, distributed the teks to students and checking the students are familiar with the words listed under vocabulary and the vocabulary is given in bold. d. Devide the class into groups of 3-4 students. e. Ask students to read the text and discuss with group members by setting a time limit. f.

Then from the results of the discussion, each group must answer questions from the description of the text that has been given. g. At the end of the lesson the writer will provide an explanation of the grammar elements in each sentence given in bold. B. Previous study iThere iare iseveral iprevious istudies iusing iinput iflooding itechnique.

iBalcom i& iBouffard i(2015) iresearch ientitled iThe iEffect iof iInput iFlooding iand iExplicit iInstruction ion iLearning iAdverb iPlacement iin iL3 iFrench. iThe iconclusion iobtained ifrom ithis istudy iis ithat ithere iare ibenefits iand ipositive ie iThe iresearch iconducted iArani i& iYazdanimoghaddam, i(2016) ientitled iThe iImpact iof iInput iFlooding iand iTextual iEnhancement ion iIranian iEFL iLearners' iSyntactic iDevelopment iis ian iattempt ito iexamine ithe iimpact iof iinput iflood i(if) iand itext iimprovement i(TIE) ion ilearners' iEFL isyntax idevelopment.

iFour ihomogeneous igroups iwere iselected ibased ion ithe ipre- itest iand iplacement itest. iDuring ithe itreatment, ithe ifirst igroup i(that iis, iif) ireceived ia ireading icomprehension itunnel iin iwhich ithe istructure iwas iflooded. iThe isecond igroup i(if, itie) ireceived ireading icomprehension ipassages iin iwhich ithe istructure iexperienced ian iincrease iin ithe itext.

iThe ithird igroup i(if i+ iTIE) ireceived ia ireading icomprehension ipassageway iwith iimproved istructure ithrough iinput iflooding iand itext ienhancement. iThe ifourth igroup ireceived ia ireading itext iin iwhich ithe istructure iwas ineither iflooded inor iincreased iin itext. iThe iresults ishow ithat itext iimprovement iand iinput iflooding ihave ia ipositive ieffect ion ithe irecognition iand iproduction iof isyntactic idevelopment.

iThese itechniques iresult iin ihigher iacquisition iscores iin iproduction iand irecognition iwhen icombined. Next is the research of Szatarski and Carter (2014) entitled The Role of The Flood of Inputs and Inputs Increase in EFL Student Acquisition from Collocation. This study investigated L2 learners' acquisition of verb- noun and adjective collocations following two types of instruction: flood input only and input flood plus input enhancement (underlined form). This research was conducted by taking a sample of students with their first language, namely Polish.

Learners of English as a foreign language are rarely exposed collocations embedded in stories read three times in a row week. Their collocation competence is then assessed in the battery delayed test that utilizes productive and receptive collocation rates mastery. A flood of inputs plus inputs increases yield collocation but only at the level of shape memory and shape recognition.

On these findings are discussed with reference to the complety of obtaining and measuring L2 collocation knowledge. The latest research conducted by Teyebi and Bazargani (2018) entitled The Impact of Flooding Input in Teacher Talk on the Advanced EFL Learners' Knowledge of Cleft Sentences. The study sought to investigate the effectiveness of the flood of inputs through teacher talks in Iran advanced EFL learner knowledge of pseudo-gap structures.

To iachieve ithis igoal, i60 imale iparticipants iin iage irange i15-18 iwho istudy ifor iabout i25 isemesters iat ithe iShokouh iinstitute iin iRasht iare iselected ifrom iamong i85 ilearners ibased ion itheir iperformance iin iQPT. iThere iare itwo igroups iin ithis istudy, iand ieach igroup iconsists iof i30 istudents. iA ipretest, iwhich iinvolves ia itopic iwith ia ispecific isituation iis igiven.

iThen, ithe iexperimental igroup ireceived i10 itreatment isessions, inamely ithe iuse iof iinput iflooding ifrom ithe ipseudo-cleft istructure ithrough iteacher ilectures. iMeanwhile, ithe icontrol igroup ireceived i10-session iplacebo itraditional imethods iwithout iusing iredundant ipseudo-gap istructures. iA iposttest iwas ithen igiven ito iboth igroups.

iThe iresults iof ithis istudy ireveal ithat ithe iexperimental igroup ithat iutilizes iinput iflooding ipseudo-gap istructure ithrough iteacher italk iachieves ihigher iproficiency ifor iuse iregarding istructure iin itopic-based iwriting ithan ithe icontrol igroup. iBased ion ithe ifindings iof ithis istudy, ilanguage iteachers ican itake iadvantage iof iinput iflooding ithis istructure iis ito iincrease istudents' iknowledge iabout ithe ipseudo- igap istructure iin iwriting.

ifinding ishows ithat ithe iflood iof iinput ifrom ithe istructures imentioned ithrough ithe iteacher's ilectures imakes ifor ithe iright icontext ito ihave iincidental ilearning ifor ilearners ileading ito ihigher iproficiency iin itheir iwriting. iThus, iteachers ican iuse iit ito icontribute ito istudent ilearning. From the research above, it was found that the teaching technique of Input Flooding had a great influence on student learning.

Students can receive more information and have a positive impact on students. So, the researchers conducted a study using Input Flooding to improve students' grammar mastery with the title " The Influence of Input Flooding Toward Eleventh Grade Students' Grammar Mastery in SMK PGRI 2 Kediri in Academic Year 2022/2023.".

This study focuses on learning grammar especially simple present tense by using the input flood learning model whose sentence does have an influence on motivation and learning outcomes that are applied to English education subjects effects on the use of the input flooding technique. C.Thinking Framework Based on background issues and library reviews, then this theoretical framework is as follows: Input Flooding Input flooding is a way or technique to increase students' attention to the target, both orally and in writing by highlighting or providing input to the target (Nassaji & Fotos, 2011).

Input flooding is done by enlarging and emphasizing the reading text, or by using trending words that can attract students' attention. In the spoken mode the target linguistic form is often used in natural speech, or the text including the target is written and then read aloud to students. The target form is not emphasized in any way, but it is assumed that the form stands out more to the learner because of its frequency and will therefore be noticed, which leads to learning.

For example, if the intention is to make certain salient features, oral or written stories can be used or built which contains many examples of that form. However, level the input presented must be in accordance with the language level of the student's proficiency. Language proficiency is an important factor to consider when designing a focus on form an activity because if the activity is beyond the level of the learner's ability, it can ineffective. So that students can in order to pay attention to linguistic forms in meaningful input, they must be able to process text with attention.

In this research, it is hoped that the use of this input flooding learning tecnique can make students interested in learning grammar and increase student interest in learning. Teaching Technique iTeaching itechnique iis ia igeneralized iplan ifor ia ilesson iwhich includes istructure idesired ilearner ibehavior iin iterms iof igoals iof iinstructions iand ian ioutline iof iplanned itactics inecessary ito iimplement ithe i.

iTeaching itechnique irefer ito imethods iused ito ihelp istudents ilearn ithe idesired icourse icontents iand ibe iable ito idevelop iachievable igoals iin ithe ifuture. iTeaching itechnique iidentify ithe idifferent iavailable ilearning imethods ito ienable ithem ito idevelop ithe iright itechnique ito ideal iwith ithe itarget igroup iidentified. iThe itechnique iis icovered iinside ithe ireality iof igetting ito iknow idesign. iThe improvement iof ithe imethod ias itechnology ihas ievolved ibeginning ifrom ithe iarmy iinternational iafter iwhich iwas iutilized iin ieducation. In iwarfare, is iapproach iis ito ireap ivictory. iLikewise, iwith ithe istudying isystem, ieducators ihave ito idiscover iall ithe iones iassociated iwith ithe istudying isystem ito ibe icarried iout.

iEducators iwant ito irecognize iwho imay ibe itheir istudents, ihow iexclusive istages iof iintelligence, ifrom iwhat ihistory ithey iarrive ifrom, ihow ithey imay ibe imotivated, iand iso iforth. In this study, the researcher hopes that a good learning technique will help the problems of students who are not interested and have no interest in learning grammar.

The following is a framework for thinking about the differences in the use of conventional learning and the use of input flooding learning techniques: / Grammar Mastery Grammar mastery is knowledge in the structure of language and the ability to combine sentence units so that they become sentences that can be understood and fulfill the grammatical rules Harmer (2007).

In the learning process, there is a transfer of information obtained by good students from teachers and other sources such as boks, mass media and other sources learn another. An information conveyed will be understood clearly if students can identify the subject, predicate and how are the subject and predicate related. In this case, the use of language plays a role. Grammar allows there are many ways to interpret a text, in relation to interpreting or create a text.

In this study, the use of input flooding learning technique during grammar learning may affect students' grammar mastery. The following is a research framework of learning grammar using the input flooding technique: / Rationale Learning grammar is an active activity that requires high concentration. Students must be able to understand the rules in the language to change the form of words and combine them into sentences.

Understanding grammar functions and being able to use sentences according to grammar word order is the aim of learning grammar. First, students experience difficulties in understanding a sentence. Second, students do not know how to find grammar formulas and the uses of each formula in grammar. In particular, students cannot arrange words into sentences according to the correct grammar. Third, students get bored in English lessons.

Fourth, students are not enthusiastic in learning English and some of them also have different knowledge backgrounds. In learning grammar, there is a teaching technique that can be used by teachers, namely input flooding. The teacher gives modified text by

highlighting words that are considered to contain grammar elements in it and students are required to analyze the text then try to find the formula contained in the highlighted words according to the specified time limit.

Input Flooding learning technique can help students focus more on learning targets and make students more active in learning grammar, because students are required to analyze and discuss the text that has been modified. The assumption here is that frequent examples of the same target shape make it stand out perceptibly, drawing the learner's attention to the shape (Nassaji & Fotos, 2011). In conclusion, the researcher have perception that teachers are able to applied this technique well.

Beside that, it can be an effective technique in teaching grammar. Because it can help the students to master grammar. Hypothesis Based on the problem formulation, it can be hypothesized that the application of Input flooding in grammar teaching with the teacher providing a flood of input by providing readings that have been modified and the quality of the text improved, then more exposure to students, can attract students' attention and increase interest in learning English for Eleventh Grade BDP 1 SMK PGRI 2 Kediri.

The researcher argues that there is a difference between before using the input flooding tecnique and after using the input flooding technique for grammar mastery. And based on the results of previous studies, there are results that Input flooding is quite influential on students' understanding. According to Ary et.al (2010: 81) the hypothesis present thw researcher's expectation about reletionship betwen variables within the question.

It means that, the hypothesis is the temporary answer to the formulation of the problem that looks for relationships between variables. The hypothesis in this thesis: 1. The Null Hypothesis (Ho), there is no influence of using input flooding learning technique to the students' grammar mastery of the eleventh grade students of BDP1 SMK PGRI 2 KEDIRI academic year 2022/2023. 2.

The Alternative Hypothesis (Ha), there is influence of using input flooding learning technique to the students' grammar mastery of the eleventh grade students of BDP1 SMK PGRI 2 KEDIRI academic year 2022/2023. iCHAPTER iIII iRESEARCH iMETHOD iA. i i iVariables iof ithe iResearch iCreswell i(2009:50) isaid, i" iA iVariable irefers ito ia icharacteristic ior iattribute iof ian iindividual ior ian iorganization ithat ican ibe imeasure ior iobserved iand ithat ivaries iamong ithe ipeople ior iorganization ibeing istudies".

iBased ion ithe iexplanation, ia ivariable iis ithe iimportant ithing iof ithe iresearch ito iget ithe iinformation iin ithe iresearch. iThe imost iimportant iclassification iis ion ithe

ibass iof itheir iuse iwithin ithe iresearch iunder iconsideration, iwhen ithey iare iclassified ias idependent ivariables ior idependent ivariables i(Ary, i1985; i30).

Identification of research variables Research Variables This research contains two variables, including: a. The independent variable is a variable that can affect other variables, in this study the independent variable is the input flooding (X). b. The dependent variable is a variable that is influenced by other variables, in this study the dependent variable is grammar mastery (Y). 2.

Operational Definition a. The independent variable: Input Flooding Input flooding is a way or technique to increase students' attention to the target, both orally and in writing by highlighting or providing input to the target. Input flooding is done by enlarging and emphasizing the text, or by using trending words that can attract students' attention.

Indicator : Explicit word improvement. Measuring scale : Interval The dependent variable: Grammar Mastery of simple present tense Grammar is a knowledge of the English structure and the ability to combine the sentences into sentences that become meaningful and fulfil the rules of grammar.

In this study, grammar is limited to the rules necessary for understanding text in the learning activity: tenses, word orders, verb, positive, negative, introgative sentence, time signal. Indicator : Grammar mastery of simple present tense test scores. Measuring scale : Interval B. Approaches and Techniques of the Research 1. Research Approach This research approach is quantitative approach.

This research used quantitative because the data is in the form of number that students' score of grammar mastery. According to Ary et.al (2010) Quantitative research uses objective measurement to gather numeric data that are used to answer questions or test predetermined hypothesis. It is called as quantitative because the research data are in form of numeric and the analysis werw using statistic.

Quantitative research methods can be interpreted as methods used to examine certain populations or samples, data collection using research instruments, statistical data analysis, with the aim of testing predetermined hypotheses. The understanding that emerges among developers of quantitative research is that researchers can intentionally make changes to the world around them by conducting experiments.

The approach used in this study is a quantitative approach that focuses on the application of input flooding teaching technique in students' mastery of grammar. Research Technique Experimental method involves a study of the effect of the

systematic manipulation of one variable(s) on another variable Ary et.al (2007). It means that this research method is used to find the effect of one variable that being observed.

In a study activity, a data-gathering technique is a way of obtaining data. Proper use of engineering and data-collection tools enables objective data. The type of methods selected in data collection must, of course, be consistent wi th the nature and characteristics of the research done. In this study, the data-collection technique used is tests. There are two tests that will be carried out, namely pre-test and post- test.

Experimental Method In this study, the researcher uses quantitative research with experimental research methods with a quasi experimental design with pre-test and post-test design. Test Method Pretest and Posttest In this study, the test method was used to obtain data on grammar mastery before and after the implementation of the Input Flooding learning tecnique student of the eleventh grade students of B C.

Place and Time of the Research Research Place This research was conducted at SMK PGRI 2 Kediri, which is located at Jl. KH. Abd Karim No.5, Bandar Lor, Kec. Mojoroto, Kabupaten Kediri, Jawa Timur 64117. The author chose to carry out research at the school because the author had carried out observations on students there and the teacher doesn't use any technique and still use conventional methode to teach grammar so that students often get bored, disinterested, and uncaring with learning.

Researcher tried to bring input flooding technique in the classroom to measure whether it can help students' achievement in grammar mastery or not. Research Time This research will be carried out during from May to June in the 2022/2023 academic year. The followed this: / D. Population and Sample 1. i i iPopulation iAccording ito iAry iet.al i(2007) istate ipopulation idefined ias iall imembers iof iany iwell-idefined iclass iof ipeople, ievents, ior iobjects.

iln ithe iother iword, ipopulation iis ilarge igroup iabout iwhich ithe igeneralization. ilt ican ibe iconcluded ithat ipopulation iis ithe inumber iof ipeople ior ithe isubjects ithat iwill ibe ianalyzed iby ithe iresearcher iln ithis istudy, ithe iresearch ipopulation iwas istudents iof iEleventh iGrade iat iSMK iPGRI i2 iKediri ifor ithe i2022/2023 iacademic iyear.

iThere iare i16 iclasses iat ithat ilevel iand ieach iclass iconsists iof i25-30 istudents, iso ithe itotal inumber iof istudents iis i432 istudents. iThis iresearch iwas iappropriate iwith ithe ibasic icompetence iin ivocational ihigh ischool iespecially iin istudents' igrammar imastery. 2. i i iSample iBased ion iAry iet.al i(2007), ia isample iis ia iportion iof ipopulation. iIn ithe iwother iword isample iis ithe ismall igroup ithat iis iobserved.

ilt imeans ithat isample iis ithe ismaller inumber ithat itaken ifrom ithe ipopulation ithat iwill ibe iobserved iand ianalyzed iby ithe iresearcher. iThe isample iin ithis istudy iwere istudents iln ithis istudy, ithe itest imethod iwas iused ito iobtain idata ion igrammar imastery ibefore iand iafter ithe iimplementation iof ithe iInput iFlooding ilearning itechnique istudent iof ithe ieleventh igrade istudents iof iBDP1 iSMK iPGRI i2 iKEDIRI iacademic iyear i2022/2023, iand imany ias i29 istudents. iThere iis ino isuperior iclass iat iSMK iPGRI i2 iKediri iwhose istudents iare idivided ior ievenly i(normal) iin ieach iclass.

iSo, ithe iresearcher iassumes ithat ithe ipopulation iused iis ihomogeneous. iData icollection itechniques iusing iobservation itechniques iand igiving itests in ithe iform iof imultiple ichoice. E. Research Instrument and Data Collecting 1. Instrument Development iThe irole iof ithe iresearch iinstrument iis ivery iimportant iwhile iconducting ia iresearch.

iThe iinstrument iin ithis iresearch iis ia itest ifor igrammar imastery. iThe iuse iof ithe itest iinstrument iis ito imeasure igrammar imastery ibefore iand iafter ithe iapplication iof iinput iflooding. iThere iare i2 itypes iof itests ithat iwill ibe icarried iout, inamely ipre-test iwhich iis icarried iout ibefore itreatment iand ipost-test iafter itreatment.

i iln ithis iresearch, ithe iresearcher idid ione igroup ipretest-posttest idesign ito igether ithe idata ifrom ithe istudents. iAccording ito iAry iet.al i(2010) iexplain ithat ione-group ipretest-iposttest idesign iusually iinvolves ithree isteps: i(1) iadministering ia ipretest imeasuring ithe idependent ivariable; i(2) iapplying ithe iexperimental itreatment i iX ito ithe isubjects; i(3) iadministering ia iposttest, iagain imeasure ithe idependent ivariable.

iTests iare isystemic iprocedures ithat iare idevised iin ithe iform iof istandardized itasks iand igiven ito iindividuals ito ibe iworked ion, ianswered, ior iredone, iwhether iin iwritten, iverbal, ior ideed. iBelow iis ithe itable iindicator itest: Table 3.2: Development pre-test and post-test / 2. Instrument Validity and Reliability Instrument Validity Validity is a measure that sho ws the levels of valiance or durability of an instrument.

A valid or valid instrument has high validity. Conversely, a less valid instrument means having low validity. In this case, validity cannot be determined by combining a criterion, as the test it self is the criterion of a work force. To test the level of validity of the instrument, researchers may try the instrument on target in research. This step is called a try-out instrument.

To know the accuracy of this data requires a validity test. The validity test in this study will be carried out using the SPSS for windows. Instrument Reliability The validity test in

this study will be carried out using the SPSS v.21 for windows. To gain the researcher used technique T-test. Below is the table indicator test: 3. Step of Collecting Data a.

Data source This data is the main data used to answer the questions that have been mentioned in the formulation of the problem. This data is obtained from the results test and experiment (quasi experimental design). In this study, the primary data were students of SMK PGRI 2 Kediri, especially students of Eleventh Grade BDP 1.

This data was sourced from complementary data that supported the results of the study. This data was obtained from the test results before and after using Input Flooding. b. Data collection steps 1) Pre-test Pre-test is used to measure students' grammar mastery before the learning process with the Input Flooding learning technique is carried out. The researcher gave the test that contains 20 multiple choice tests relating of grammar especially simple present tense.

The first meeting until the last meeting is 50 minutes. 2) Treatment by using input flooding Researchers gave treatment to students after completing the pre-test. The treatment uses the input flooding learning technique, first in pre-teaching, the researcher gives the grammar text that has been modified with the input flooding technique to the students and the researcher asks the students to read the text, discuss with the group members that have been formed, present the results of the discussion. Then, the researcher gave several draft questions to students related to the text.

Second, in post teaching, the researcher gives other grammar text to students and asks students to read and analyze text individually Students are asked to analyze the simple present tense grammar formula and the meaning of the text. Then the students worked on the exercises given by the researcher. 3) Post test The post-test is used to determine the final grammar mastery after learning the application of Input Flooding and is also analyzed to determine the development score of each student. F. Technique of Data Analysis 1. T-test iThe idata ianalysis imethod ithat iused iin ithis iresearch iis iSPSS iv.21 ifor iwindows ianalyze ithe iresults iof ithe istudents' iscores iof ithe ipretest iand iposttest.

iKinds iof ithe isoftware, iit iwas iused idependent isample iT-test ito iknow iwhether ior inot ithere iwas ian iinflunce ior iimpact iin iusing iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique iand ithe isignificance idifferences ibetween ipre-test iand ipost-test. iln iaddition, iaccording ito iAry i(2010: i175), it-test ifor idependent isamples imust ibe iused, iit ialso iknown ias ithe icorrelated ior inon-independent ior ipaired it-test. iSo, it-test iis ivery iimportant ito imeasure ithe isample iwhich iused iin ithe iresearch.

iThe idescription iof istudents' igrammar imastery ibefore ibeing itaught iusing iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique. iThe it-test iis iused ito itest ithe ieffect iof ithe idependent ivariable. iThis itest iwas icarried iout ithrough ithe iSPSS iv.21. iAccording ito iSantoso i(2014: i265), iguidelines ifor idecision imaking iin ithe it-test ibased ion ithe isignificance ivalue i(sig.)

iof ithe iSPSS ioutput iresults, iare ias ifollows: a. i i ilf ithe ivalue iof it icount i> it itable i/ isig i< ia, ithen idata iHo iis irejected iand iHa iis iaccepted. b. i i ilf ithe ivalue iof it icount i< it itable i/ isig i> ia, ithen iHo iis iaccepted iand iHa iis irejected. iCHAPTER iIV iRESEARCH iFINDING iAND iDISCUSSION iIn ithis ichapter, ithe iresearcher idiscuss ithe iresult iof ipretest, iposttest iand ithe idata ianalysis.

iThe iresearch ifinding iis iused ito iknow ithe iinfluence iof iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique ito istudents' igrammar imastery ito ithe ieleventh igrade istudents iof iBDP1 iSMK iPGRI i2 iKEDIRI iacademic iyear i2022/2023. iThe iwriter iexplains ithe idiscussion iabout ithe iresults ias iwell. iThere iare ifour imain isub-chapters ion iresearch ifinding, ithose iare; ia) idescription iof ithe iresearch ivariable, ib) idata ianalysis, iC) ihypothesis itesting, iand i id) idiscussion. In this research, the researcher tried to find out the implementation of input flooding to the students' grammar mastery.

Input flooding is a way or technique to increase students' attention to the target goal, both orally by highlighting or giving a flood of input to the target. Input flooding this is implemented by enlarging and emphasizing a reading text, or by using trending words that can attract students' attention. Using the input flooding learning technique by giving descriptive text to students, which has been modified by giving highlights such as bold on words that have grammar elements. The research was conducted in several times; pre test, treatment, and post test. Pre

The researcher gave a test to the students which it is contained of 20 multiple choice questions. Student are asked to do it in 50 minutes. This activity has aim to measure the students' grammar mastery before being taught using input flooding learning technique. The score was assessed the researcher convert the score in each indicator by using converting score: Table 4.1

Frequency of Pretest Pretest Frequency Table _ Interval _Frequency _Precent _ _45-49 _5 _17% _ _50-54 _7 _24% _ _55-59 _5 _17% _ _60-64 _5 _17% _ _65-69 _0 _0% _ _70-74 _5 _17% _ _75-79 _2 _8% _ _Total _29 _100% _ _/ Based on the data above, some information can be obtained, including: First, the highest pre-test score was 75 and the lowest score was 45.

Second, from the table above, 5 student (17%) got score 45, 7 students (24%) got score 50, 5 students (17%) got score 55, 5 students (17%) got score 60, 5 students (17%) got score 70, 2 students (8%) got score 75. From that explanation, it can be concluded that students' grammar mastery before implementing learning with the input flooding technique were mostly still moderate.

After the research conducted pre-test in the firs meeting. The researcher continued to conduct treatment in the second and third meeting. In second meeting, students were taught using input flooding learning tecnique and asked to apply it in group. The researcher explains briefly about grammar, especially the simple present tense, regarding the uses, formulas, and elements of the simple present tense.

They were asked to read the text that had been prepared by the researcher and discuss with group members about the bolded vocabulary, then examine the elements of the simple present tense in the text. After that, the results of the discussion each group had to answer questions about the text they had read and analyzed. In each activity in the first treatment, students were asked to discuss the texts with their friends.

After students are asked to find elements of grammar (simple present tense) in the text. After that each group briefly presented the results of the group discussions they had done. Then they also had to answer questions about the text. In the end of the first treatment, the researcher asked the students about their understanding of the materials.

Treatment in the third meeting almost has the same activity in the second meeting, but students must find elements of grammar (simple present tense) in the text that has been modified by adding highlights such as bold to words that have grammar elements. They are trained to find grammar elements in the text to practice their ability to understand a sentence.

This activity aims to ensure that students can use the input flooding technique to analyze the grammar of the text. Post test was conducted in the fourth meeting. The researcher asked the students to do the same test in pre test about 50 minutes. Posttest consists of 20 questions also in multiple choice. After giving tests to the students concering with the implementation of input flooding learning technique to the students' grammar mastery, the progress and the score frequency as follows: Table 4.2

Frequency of Posttest Posttest Frequency Table _ Interval _Frequency _Precent _ _65-68 _5 _17% _ _69-72 _5 _17% _ _73-76 _4 _14% _ _77-80 _4 _14% _ _81-84 _0 _0% _ _85-89 _7 _24% _ _90-93 _4 _14% _ _Total _29 _100% _ _ iFrom ithat itable, i5 istudent i(17%) igot iscore i65, i5 istudents i(17%) igot iscore i70, i4 istudents i(14%) igot iscore i75, i4 istudents i(14%) igot iscore i80, i7 istudents i(24%) igot iscore i85, iand i4 istudents i(14%) igot iscore i90.

ilt imeans ithat ithe istudents' igrammar imastery i iwas iincreasing iafter ithe i iln ithis itime, ithe iresearcher ipresented ithe iprocedure iof idata ianalyze iand igetting ithe iresult iof ipretest iand iposttest. iln ithis ipart iis ito ianswer ithe iquestion iabout iwhether ithere iis ian iinfluence i iin iteaching igrammar ito istudent iof ithe ieleventh igrade istudents iof iBDP1 iSMK iPGRI i2 iKEDIRI iacademic iyear i2022/2023. iThe iprocedure iof ianalyzing idata icomes ifrom icorrected iin ipretest iand iposttest.

iThen, igetting ithe iscore ibased ion ieach icriterion ithat ihave ibeen idetermined ibefore. iAfter ithat, ithe iresearcher ienters ithe iscore iinto iSPSS iapplication iversion i21. iIn ithis ipart, ithe iresearcher ipresented iabout ithe iresult iof idata ianalysis ifrom istudents' ipretest iand iposttest iusing iSPSS iversion i21.

The normaly test was carried out by researchers using SPSS V.21 to determine the normality of the distribution of the data that had been obtained. The following presents the results of the data normaly test: Table 4.3 Normality Test One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test _ _ _Unstandardized Residual _ _N _29 _ _Normal Parametersa,b _Mean _,0000000 _ _ _Std.

Deviation _5,50749233 _ _Most Extreme Differences _Absolute _,102 _ _ _Positive _,102 _ _ _Negative _-,099 _ _Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z _,550 _ _Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) _,922 _ _ a. Test distribution is Normal. _ _b. Calculated from data. _ _ The results of the normality test using SPSS v.21 for windows show that the Asymp.Sig.(2-tailed) value is 0.922 > 0.05 and in accordance with the decision making on the normality test using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov technique, it can be concluded that the data is normally distributed.

iln ithis ipart, ithe iresearcher ipresented iabout ithe iresult iof idata ianalysis ifrom istudents' ipretest iand iposttest iusing iSPSS iversion i21. iFrom ithe ianalysis iof iSPSS, ithere iare idata ioutput ias ifollow: iPaired iSample iStatistics, iPaired iSample iCorrelation, iand iPaired iSample iTest. Table 4.4 Paired Samples Statistics _ _ _Mean _N _Std. Deviation _Std.

Error Mean ______Pair 1 _PRETEST _56,90 _29 _9,675 _1,797 _ _ POSTEST _77,59 _29 _8,724 _1,620 _ Based on the result above, the interpretation of the data is obtained as follow: a. The average value (mean) of the pre test is known to be 56,90 while the post test is 77,59. So, that descriptively there is a difference between the pretest and posttest. b. The amount of data for each variable is 29. Table 4.5

/ Based on the results above, the interpretation of the data is obtained as follows: a. The results of p.value (sig) before and after implementation show the number 0.000. From the data it is found that the p value (sig) < Alpha or 0.00 < 0,05, then Ho is rejected. So it can be concluded that there is a reletionship between the pretest and posttest of Eleventh Grade BDP 1. b.

The corelation between the pretest and posttest has a positive reletionship that is equal to 0.776 or 77.6%. Table 4.6 / Based on the results above, the interpretation of the data obtained as follows: a. The t-count results from before and after the treatment show a number of -17,889. b. The degree of freedom (df) is 28. With an Alpha of 0.05, the t table is 1,701. c. So that is found that t count > t table or 17,889>1,701.

Then Ho is rejected (negative is removed because it uses one-tailed test). So it can be concluded that there is a significant difference between the pretest and posttest of Eleventh Grade BDP 1. iBased ion ithe ihypotheses iand idata ipreviously ianalyzed, ithe iresearchers iconcluded ithat ithe iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique ihad ia isignificant influence ion ithe istudents' igrammar imastery iof ithe ieleventh igrade istudents iof iBDP1 iSMK iPGRI i2 iKEDIRI iacademic iyear i2022/2023.

iThis ican ibe iproven ifrom ithe iresults iof ithe ipre-test iand ipost-test, ithe ipaired isample istatistics itable ishows ithat ithe ipretest iscore iis ihigher ithan ithe iposttest iscore. iThe itotal ipretest iscore iwas i1.650, iand ithe itotal iposttest iscore iwas i2.250. iFrom ithis iexplanation, ithe istudent's iscore iincreased. iBased ion ithe idata iin itable i4.8, ithe iresult iis ithat ithe it-score iis i-17.889 i> it-table iwith ia isignificant ilevel iof i0.05.

iThis imeans ithat ithere iis ia isignificant iinfluence ibefore iand iafter iusing ithe iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique ion istudents' igrammar imastery. Iln iconclusion, ithe inull ihypothesis i(Ho) iis irejected iand ithe ialternative ihypothesis i(Ha) iis iaccepted. Input flooding is a way or technique to increase students' attention to the target goal, both orally and in writing by highlighting or giving a flood of input to the target.

Input flooding this is implemented by enlarging and emphasizing a reading text, or by using trending words that can attract students' attention (Nassaji & Fotos, 2011). Researchers adopt one of the grammar teaching theories from Nunan (1991), namely inductive learning. Inductive learning is an approach to language teaching in which the learners are not taught grammatical or other types of rules directly but are left to discover or induce rules from their experience of using language. The stages include: 1. Give examples, 2. Find the rules, pattern, meaning, and use, 3. Give exercise. Using inductive learning, anable students to be active because they are used to find the rules, pattern, meaning, and use by themselves. By combining inductive learning grammar teaching theory with input flooding learning techniques, students become more active and focus on the subject being taught, students are also more enthusiastic about learning grammar.

iThis itime ithe iresearcher iexplained iabout ithe idiscussion iof ipretest, ipost-test, iand it-test iby idifferentiating ithem ifrom ithe iresults iof iprevious istudies. iRelated ito ithe iresearch ihypothesis, ithere iis ia inull ihypothesis i(Ho) iand ian ialternative ihypothesis i(Ha). iThe inull ihypothesis i(Ho) imeans ithat ithere iis ino iinfluence iof iusing iinput iflooding ilearning itechniques ion istudents' igrammar imastery, ion ithe iother ihand ithe ialternative ihypothesis i(Ha) imeans ithat ithere iis iinfluence iof iusing iinput iflooding ilearning itechniques ion istudents' igrammar imastery.

iThe iresearcher ianalysed ithe ipretest iand iposttest iscores iwith iSPSS iversion i21. iBased ion ithe icalculation, itotal iscore i iof iposttest iwas ihigher ithan ipretest, itotal iscore iof ipretest iwas i1650, iand ithe itotal iscore iof iposttest iwas i2250. iThe iresearcher iconcluded ithat iinput iflooding itechnique iis iinfluence ifor iincrease istudents' igrammar imastery.

i iFrom ithis ianalysis iit ishows ithat ithe it-score iis ihigher ithan ithe it-table iat ia isignificant ilevel iof i0.05 i(17.889 i> i1.701) iwith i28 idegrees iof ifreedom i(df) iis i2.048, iso ithe inull ihypothesis i(Ho) iis irejected iand ithe ialternative ihypothesis i(Ha) iis iaccepted. Actually, the problem most students in learning grammar is the lack of interest in English subjects and students tend to be passive when learning.

So before the researcher gave the input flooding learning technique as a treatment to students, some students had difficulty understanding grammar, had difficulty knowing sentence structure, students also had difficulty finding grammatical elements in sentences. In applying the teaching method, there are advantages and disadvantages as well.

Based on the results of the treatment that has been carried out by the researcher, the advantage of this method is that it makes students more active in class, because they are asked to discuss assignments with their friends, besides that the input flooding learning technique also helps students focus more on important subjects in grammar learning so that students can easily receive material. They can ask questions or share many things at that time with their group.

By using this method, the teacher teaches grammar to students based on the situation in the class. In addition, students are asked to determine the choice of steps they want to do. Starting from finding grammar formulas in sentences, explaining the uses of the grammatical elements that have been analyzed, and sharing tasks with group members to solve the problems given. Finally, after being given treatment using the input flooding learning technique as an effort to improve students' grammar skills.

Some students have good abilities in identifying grammar, especially the simple present tense in sentences, can understand the function or use of word order, time signal, use of negative and introgrative sentences in the simple present tense. So, from this explanation, the input flooding learning technique has an influence on students' grammar mastery.

iThe ifindings iof ithis istudy isupported iseveral iprevious istudies irelated ito ithis iresearch. iBazargani, iTeyebi i(2018), iwith ithe ititle i"The iImpact iof iInput iFlooding iin iTeacher iTalk ion ithe iAdvanced iEFL iLearners' iKnowledge iof iCleft iSentences", istates ithat ilanguage iteachers ican iutilize ithis iinput iflooding istructure ito iincrease istudents' iknowledge.

iln iline iwith ithis itheory, iresearch ifrom iArani i& iYazdanimoghaddam i(2016) ientitled i"The ilmpact iof ilnput iFlooding iand iTextual iEnhancement ion ilranian iEFL iLearners' iSyntactic iDevelopment iis ian iattempt ito iexamine ithe iimpact iof iinput iflood i(if) iand itext iimprovement i(TIE) ion ilearners' iEFL isyntax idevelopment".

iThe iresults ishow ithat itext iimprovement iand iinput iflooding ihave ia ipositive iinfluence ion ithe irecognition iand iproduction iof isyntactic idevelopment. iThese itechniques iresult iin ihigher iacquisition iscores iin iproduction iand irecognition. i However, there are some limitations in this study using the input flooding technique in learning grammar.

The limitations of this study include that this research only uses a quantitative approach. This research is also still limited to the competence of just one grammar, namely the simple present tense. There is still room for other researcher using the input flooding technique for other grammatical points and different approaches.

Based on the explanation above, the input flooding learning technique is proven to have a significant influence on students' grammar mastery or has an effect on students' grammar mastery. In addition, teachers can use this learning technique to become a reference in teaching grammar. iCHAPTER iV iCONCLUTION iAND iSUGGESTION iIn ithis ichapter, ithe iresearcher idiscuss iabout: ia) iconclution, ib) isugestion iof ithe iresearch. iThe iresult iof ithe iresearch idiscussed iin icoclusion iby ishown ithe iresult iof idata ianalysis ifrom iprevious ichapter. iWhile, ithe isuggestion idiscuss iabout isome isuggestion ithat ithe iresearcher igive ifor ithe iteacher iand ischool iboard. iThe iresearcher itook isome iconclusions ifrom ithis iresearch ibased ion ithe iresult iof iresearch ifinding iin iprevious ichapter.

iThe iresult ishowed ithe iinput iflooding iteaching itechnique iis ian ieffective iteaching itechnique ito iteach igrammar iof ithe ieleventh igrade istudents iof iBDP1 iSMK iPGRI i2 iKEDIRI iacademic iyear i2022/2023. iIt iis isupported iby ithe iresult iof ianalyzing ipretest iand iposttest iwith ithe ilevel iof isignificance. iIn iline iwith ithat, ithe istudents' igrammar imastery iare iincreased iafter ibeing itaught iby iusing iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique ithat iis imean iscore iof ipost itest iare ihigher ithan iscore iof ipretest.

ilt ican ibe iconcluded ithat ithere iis ia isignificant iinfluence iof iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique iin istudents' igrammar imastery. iln iaddition, iteaching igrammar iusing iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique ihelps ithe istudent ito isolve ithe iproblem. iThis imethod ialso igives igood iinfluence i ito imake ithe istudents' iactive iand iresponsible.

iMoreover, ithe iresult ibetween istudents iscore ibefore iand iafter iteaching iusing iinput iflooding ilearning itecnique iexplained ithe isignificant iinfluence ito ithe istudents' igrammar imastery. ilt iis itaking ifrom ithe ichange iof istudents'score iin ipost itest iwhich ibetter ithat istudents' iscore iof ipretest. iBased ion ithe iresearch ifunding iabove, ithere iare isome isuggestion ithat iare igiven ito ithe iteacher, ithe istudents, iand ithe iother.

iThe iteacher ishould iexplain ifirst ithe iactivities iof iusing iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique ibefore iapplied iin ithe iclass. iThe iteacher iis iable ito iuse iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique iin ilearning igrammar ithat imay ibe iseen ifrom ithe iresult iof icalculate istudents' iscore ibetween ipretest iand iposttest ialso ibefore iand iafter ibeing itaught iwith iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique.

iThe istudents ishould imore iactive iand iresponsible iwhen ilearning igrammar iuses iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique iis iapplied iin ithe iclass. ilt iis ialso ihelp ithem ito iunderstand ithe isentence ieasily. iThey iare iable ito ido ithe isteps iof ithis imethod ito ifind ithe ianswer iof iquestion ior ianalyzing ithe itext.

iBy iusing ithis itechnique, ithe istudents ienjoy iin ilearning igrammar iand ithe istudents

iwill inever ifeel ibored. iAnd ialso ifor isure, ithe iresearcher iwants ito igive isome isuggestions ito ithe iother iresearchers iwho iwill ido ithe isame iresearch. iFirstly, ithey ishould iuse iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique ifor idifferent igrammar itenses, ifor iexample isimple ipast itense, ipresent icontinouse itense, iand iect. i iThey imay ito iapply ithis imethod iby itheir iown ior ibased ion iwhat ithey ihave iunderstood ito iuse ithe isteps.

iSecondly, ithey imay iapply iinput iflooding ilearning itechnique iin idifferent ilevels iof ieducation ilike iJunior iHigh iSchool iat ior iSenior iHigh iSchool. iThird, ithey ican ialso iapply iinput iflooding ilearning itechniques ito iother iEnglish iskills isuch ias ireading ior iwriting. iFourth, ithey ican ialso iuse idifferent iresearch iapproaches isuch ias iqualitative.

iLastly, ithe iresearcher ihopes ithat ithis iresearch ican ibe ia ireference ifor iother iresearchers iwhen ithey iwill ido ithe isame iresearching istudents iteaching igrammar iusing input iflooding itecnique.

INTERNET SOURCES:

<1% - http://simki.unpkediri.ac.id/mahasiswa/file_artikel/2019/14.1.01.08.0044.pdf

<1% - http://dissertationedd.usc.edu/outline-the-background-of-the-problem.html <1% -

https://text-id.123dok.com/document/dy4gd8k5y-formulation-of-the-problem-objectiv e-of-the-research-significances-of-the-research-scope-of-the-research-definition-of-ter ms.html

<1% - https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR2009065.pdf

<1% - http://etheses.iainkediri.ac.id/3046/1/932205216%20prabab%2C1%2C2%2C3.pdf

- <1% https://epublications.marquette.edu/span_fac/56/
- <1% https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09571736.2019.1638630 <1% -
- https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/e225/06ab9f8262154777c26534126740d8a0a44a.pdf
- <1% https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0346251X08000250

<1% - https://publikasi.polije.ac.id/index.php/jeapco/article/download/1255/982

<1% - https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/227287056.pdf

<1% -

http://repository.potensi-utama.ac.id/jspui/bitstream/123456789/4876/2/CHAPTER%20II .pdf

<1% - https://www.grammar-monster.com/glossary/simple_present_tense.htm <1% -

https://repository.uinjkt.ac.id/dspace/bitstream/123456789/4488/1/98903-MARIA%20UL

FAH-FITK.PDF

<1% - http://repo.uinsatu.ac.id/146/5/CHAPTER%20IV.pdf

<1% - http://jurnal.fkip.unila.ac.id/index.php/123/article/download/20278/pdf

<1% - https://jurnal.untan.ac.id/index.php/jpdpb/article/download/27609/75676577929

<1% - http://digilib.uinsgd.ac.id/10659/4/4_bab1.pdf

<1% - https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2158244017733790

<1% - http://repo.uinsatu.ac.id/7161/5/CHAPTER%20II.pdf

<1% -

https://repository.ump.ac.id/4605/3/CHAPTER%20II_GITHA%20CLAUDIA_PBI%2717.pdf <1% -

https://books.google.com/books/about/The_Practice_of_English_Language_Teachin.html ?id=DIMpYgEACAAJ

<1% - http://repository.unsada.ac.id/614/6/Chapter%20II.pdf

<1% -

https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/59912-EN-an-analysis-of-students-ability-in-using.pdf

<1% -

https://adeprimarora.wordpress.com/2015/02/11/the-components-of-speaking-skill/

<1% - https://www.britannica.com/topic/grammar

<1% - https://omniglot.com/language/articles/translatinglearning.htm

<1% -

https://www.icphs2019.org/the-three-areas-of-linguistics-syntax-semantics-and-phonol ogy

<1% -

https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/study-of-language/semantics/A294732BB31B7E 91E1904911D633B979

<1% -

https://www.globalacademicgroup.com/journals/academic%20discourse/V12N1P02_202 1_Discourse.pdf

<1% - https://www.readingrockets.org/classroom/classroom-strategies/story-sequence

<1% - https://www.academypublication.com/issues2/tpls/vol06/03/23.pdf <1% -

https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/60134-EN-investigating-classroom-instructi on-in-g.pdf

<1% -

https://www.psychologicalscience.org/publications/observer/obsonline/balancing-speak ing-and-listening-for-language-learning.html

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353288790_The_Effectiveness_of_Arranging_ Word_Game_In_Teaching_Grammar_An_Evidence_From_VIII_Grade_Students_of_SMPN_2 _Simpang_Alahan_Mati_Indonesia/fulltext/60f18ad10859317dbde6b269/The-Effectivene ss-of-Arranging-Word-Game-In-Teaching-Grammar-An-Evidence-From-VIII-Grade-Stud ents-of-SMPN-2-Simpang-Alahan-Mati-Indonesia.pdf <1% -

https://www.readingrockets.org/topics/comprehension/articles/how-teach-expository-text-structure-facilitate-reading-comprehension

<1% - https://www.teaching.unsw.edu.au/group-work-presentations-and-report-writing <1% -

https://opentextbc.ca/writingforsuccess/chapter/chapter-3-putting-ideas-into-your-own -words-and-paragraphs/

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45346178_The_Role_of_the_Researcher_in_the _Qualitative_Research_Process_A_Potential_Barrier_to_Archiving_Qualitative_Data <1% -

https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Teaching-L2-collocations-through-concept%E2 %80%90based-The-Tsai/eee9d4fb2d7d6af1df4c9ea0d533cdc88e747b8e <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340780246_An_Investigation_of_Iranian_EFL_L earners'_Knowledge_of_English_Lexical_Collocations_across_Academic_Levels <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269418867_The_role_of_input_flood_and_inpu t_enhancement_in_EFL_learners'_acquisition_of_collocations <1% -

https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Impact-of-Flooding-Input-in-Teacher-Talkon-the-Tayyebi-Bazargani/ba9913a8e69f3a52b6ba6523e5b0c216290d2464

- <1% https://www.ijsciences.com/pub/pdf/V92020032296.pdf
- <1% https://ocs.upnvj.ac.id/index.php/korelasi/2020/paper/viewFile/1047/198
- <1% https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED554557.pdf
- <1% https://onesearch.id/Record/IOS13635.2001
- <1% https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/21582440211061533 <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228387864_Attention_awareness_and_individ ual_differences_in_language_learning

<1% - http://eprints.umpo.ac.id/id/eprint/9899

<1% -

http://etheses.iainponorogo.ac.id/11315/1/Skripsi%2C%20Luqman%20Putra%2C%2021 0916075.pdf

<1% - https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4396134/

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344469578_Towards_Implicit_Vocabulary_Acq

uisition_of_Young_Learners_The_Use_of_Input_Flooding_and_Peripheral_Learning

<1% - https://www.theedadvocate.org/academic-language-connection-school-success/

<1% - https://katakita.petra.ac.id/index.php/sastra-inggris/article/download/5703/5201

<1% - http://simki.unpkediri.ac.id/mahasiswa/file_artikel/2019/14.1.01.08.0035.pdf

<1% - https://www.academia.edu/8665107/HYPOTHESIS_FORMULATION

<1% - https://ejournal.unisnu.ac.id/JE/article/view/822

<1% - https://smkpgri2kdr.sch.id/ekskul/

<1% - https://smkpgri2kdr.sch.id/slider/penerimaan-peserta-didik-baru/

<1% -

https://www.scribbr.com/frequently-asked-questions/what-is-an-independent-variable/

<1% - https://researchmethod.net/dependent-variable/

<1% - http://repository.umko.ac.id/id/eprint/206/2/BAB%202%20YUNIDA.pdf <1% -

https://www.toppr.com/guides/english-language/correct-sentences/sentence-formation /

<1% - http://repo.uinsatu.ac.id/6523/6/CHAPTER%20III%20Pdf.pdf

<1% - http://repo.iain-tulungagung.ac.id/520/5/CHAPTER%20III.pdf

<1% - http://digilib.ikippgriptk.ac.id/id/eprint/1648/4/BAB%20III%20Adelia.pdf <1% -

https://www.jurnalmahasiswa.uma.ac.id/index.php/jikabi/article/download/1416/670

<1% - https://libguides.uta.edu/quantitative_and_qualitative_research/quant

<1% - https://www.arcjournals.org/pdfs/ijsell/v5-i1/5.pdf

<1% - http://repo.iain-tulungagung.ac.id/4272/4/CHAPTER%20III.pdf

<1% -

https://text-id.123dok.com/document/1y91ol3jq-pre-test-post-test-data-collection-tech nique.html

<1% - https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/usej/article/download/23273/11747 <1% -

https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/2e16/420c3fdedfbe8bb90162e3c308cbfd98cab5.pdf <1% -

https://digilib.uns.ac.id/dokumen/detail/56962/Developing-Supplementary-Materials-fo r-Business-English-Based-on-Task-Based-Learning-A-Research-and-Development-at-th e-Marketing-Department-Students-of-SMK-PGRI-2-Kediri

<1% -

http://repository.um-palembang.ac.id/id/eprint/16353/1/372016010_BAB%20I_DAFTAR %20PUSTAKA..pdf

<1% -

http://download.garuda.kemdikbud.go.id/article.php?article=3267869&val=28676&title =Students'%20Perceptions%20in%20Using%20WhatsApp%20as%20Learning%20Media <1% - https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/eduman/article/download/48431/19584

- <1% https://studymoose.com/essay-topic-5-3-example-essay
- <1% https://ojs.unm.ac.id/performance/article/download/38769/18189
- <1% http://repo.poltekkesdepkes-sby.ac.id/5353/7/Abstrak.pdf
- <1% https://eprints.umm.ac.id/85454/4/Thesis%20Aprilia%20CHAPTER%202.pdf
- <1% https://study.com/academy/practice/quiz-worksheet-types-sources-of-data.html
- <1% https://onesearch.id/Record/IOS13635.2001/TOC
- <1% https://eprints.umm.ac.id/44635/4/CHAPTER%20III.pdf
- <1% http://repo.uinsatu.ac.id/1863/17/CHAPTER%20IV.pdf
- <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346050844_IMPROVING_STUDENTS'_WRITIN G_SKILL_THROUGH_INTERACTIVE_BLOG_AT_IKIP_MATARAM <1% -

http://download.garuda.kemdikbud.go.id/article.php?article=3027064&val=27405&title =Meningkatkan%20Pemahaman%20Membaca%20Siswa%20dengan%20Menggunakan %20Teknik%20Buzz%20Group

- <1% https://eprints.umm.ac.id/44796/4/CHAPTER%20III.pdf
- <1% http://repository.lppm.unila.ac.id/42569/1/Webology%20Anita%20dkk.pdf
- <1% https://karyailmiah.unisba.ac.id/index.php/akuntansi/article/view/21278
- <1% http://repo.uinsatu.ac.id/24865/6/BAB%20III.pdf
- <1% https://jurnal.fpbs.ikippgriptk.ac.id/index.php/jelte/article/download/54/pdf
- <1% https://ojs.fkip.ummetro.ac.id/index.php/english/article/view/720
- <1% https://idr.uin-antasari.ac.id/13799/7/BAB%20III.pdf
- <1% https://repository.uir.ac.id/4325/6/bab3.pdf
- <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Muh-Arief-Muhsin/publication/303761855_THE_C ORRELATION_BETWEEN_STUDENTS%27_GRAMMAR_KNOWLEDGE_AND_WRITING_ABILI TY/links/57512ae708ae1c34b39caa80/THE-CORRELATION-BETWEEN-STUDENTS-GRAM MAR-KNOWLEDGE-AND-WRITING-ABILITY.pdf

<1% - https://byjus.com/english/simple-present-tense/

- <1% http://repo.uinsatu.ac.id/7003/7/BAB%20IV.pdf
- <1% -

https://dspace.uii.ac.id/bitstream/handle/123456789/15297/05.4%20bab%204.pdf?sequ ence=8

<1% -

https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/SSLVMB_26.0.0/statistics_mainhelp_ddita/spss/base/idh_ntk1.html

<1% -

https://repository.usm.ac.id/files/skripsi/B21A/2015/B.211.15.0149/B.211.15.0149-07-BA B-IV-20190221032356.pdf

<1% - http://www.spsstests.com/2015/03/how-to-test-normality-with-kolmogorov.html

<1% -

https://assessment.aa.ufl.edu/media/assessmentaaufledu/practical-guide-materials/Mod ule-5b---Pre-and-Post-testing---PowerPoint.pdf

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Summary-of-t-test-showing-difference-between-pr etest-and-posttest-performance-of-students_tbl3_358240429

<1% - https://www.linkedin.com/advice/0/what-limitations-pretest-posttest-designs <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Changes-in-scores-between-the-pretest-and-postt est-Pretest-lecture-reflects-the_fig2_321126282

<1% - https://online.stat.psu.edu/stat500/book/export/html/521

<1% - https://www.oxfordreference.com/view/10.1093/oi/authority.20110803100250176 <1% -

https://dupakdosen.usu.ac.id/bitstream/handle/123456789/7168/Fulltext.pdf?sequence =1

<1% - https://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/2535996.pdf

<1% -

https://www.docsity.com/en/math-533-week-6-course-project-part-b-hypothesis-testin g/8459553/

<1% - https://digilibadmin.unismuh.ac.id/upload/10367-Full_Text.pdf <1% -

https://www.woodwardenglish.com/lesson/dont-doesnt-english-present-tense-negative -sentences/

<1% - https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIRBH06008.pdf

- <1% https://journals.unihaz.ac.id/index.php/edu-ling/article/download/1688/879
- <1% http://repository.untag-sby.ac.id/14071/9/JURNAL.pdf
- <1% https://ojs.uho.ac.id/index.php/Endemis/article/download/26973/15596